

**AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARACTERISTICS AND FINANCIAL REPORTING
QUALITY IN NIGERIA'S CONSUMER GOODS MANUFACTURING SECTOR**

BY

YOHANNA JOSHUA

MGS2104696

**DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING
FACULTY OF MANAGEMENT SCIENCES**

UNIVERSITY OF BENIN

BENIN CITY

SEPTEMBER, 2025.

**AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARACTERISTICS AND FINANCIAL REPORTING
QUALITY IN NIGERIA'S CONSUMER GOODS MANUFACTURING SECTOR**

BY

YOHANNA JOSHUA

MGS2104696

**BEING A RESEARCH PROJECT WRITTEN AND SUBMITTED TO THE
DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING, FACULTY OF MANAGEMENT
SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF BENIN, EDO STATE, NIGERIA, IN PARTIAL
FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF BACHELOR
OF SCIENCE (B.Sc.) DEGREE IN ACCOUNTING.**

SEPTEMBER, 2025.

ECLARATION

I, YOHANNA JOSHUA do hereby declare that this project work is entirely my own work and Composition. The work embodied in this project has not been submitted in candidature for any degree and is not concurrently being submitted for any other degree. All references made to works of other persons have been duly acknowledged.

YOHANNA JOSHUA

(Project student)

DATE

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this research work was carried out by YOHANNA JOSHUA in the department of Accounting, Faculty of Management Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City.

DR. G. O. IKHU-OMOREGBE
(Project Supervisor)

DATE

DR. G.O. IKHU-OMOREGBE
(Project Coordinator)

DATE

PROF.O.OBARETIN.
(Head of Department)

DATE

DEDICATION

With deep appreciation and heartfelt gratitude to God Almighty for granting me his grace for the completion of this work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I return all glory, honour, and praise to Almighty God for His unfailing love, grace, and strength that saw me through this academic journey. Without Him, none of this would have been possible.

I extend my heartfelt appreciation to my project supervisor, **Associate Professor DR. G.O. IKHU-OMOREGBE** , whose role has been far beyond that of an academic guide. He has been like a father to me, providing invaluable direction, patience, and encouragement throughout this journey. His mentorship has shaped both my academic and personal growth in immeasurable ways.

I am profoundly grateful to my beloved parents, **Mr. Yohanna and Mrs. Maryamu**, whose prayers, sacrifices, and unwavering support have been the foundation of my success. Their love and constant motivation kept me going even in challenging moments. I also appreciate my siblings for their encouragement and understanding, which gave me strength and inspiration to persevere.

My sincere gratitude goes to my spiritual father, **Pastor Solomon**, who has been a source of guidance, sponsorship, and spiritual support. His prayers and counsel have been a great pillar in my academic pursuit.

I would also like to acknowledge one of my respected lecturers in the Department of Finance, **Dr. Eboigbe**, for his significant contributions and support in my academic journey. His insights and assistance have been truly helpful.

To my friends, thank you for your companionship, encouragement, and the shared moments that made this journey less stressful and more rewarding.

Finally, I appreciate every individual who, in one way or another, has contributed to the success of this work. Your impact, whether big or small, has played a part in making this project a reality.

Abstract

This study investigates the relationship between audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods manufacturing sector. The motivation for the research stems from persistent concerns about corporate governance effectiveness and the reliability of financial statements in emerging economies. Specifically, the study examines the impact of audit committee meeting frequency, independence, financial expertise, and size on financial reporting quality, with discretionary accruals serving as a proxy for earnings management. The study adopts an ex-post facto research design and utilizes secondary data from listed consumer goods firms covering the period 2011–2020. Descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and panel regression techniques were employed in analyzing the data. The results reveal that audit committee independence, financial expertise, and meeting frequency significantly reduce discretionary accruals, thereby enhancing financial reporting quality. Conversely, audit committee size does not exhibit a significant effect, indicating that effectiveness is influenced more by the quality and competence of members than by their number. The findings align with agency theory and prior empirical studies that emphasize the critical role of independent and knowledgeable audit committees in constraining earnings management. The study contributes to the literature by providing empirical evidence from Nigeria, an under-researched context, and offers practical implications for regulators and boards to strengthen audit committee structures. It recommends that emphasis should be placed on ensuring the independence, expertise, and active engagement of audit committees rather than merely meeting statutory requirements for committee size.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER PAGE	i
TITLE PAGE	ii
DECLARATION.....	iii
CERTIFICATION	iv
DEDICATION	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
Abstract	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
CHAPTER ONE	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background to the Study.....	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem.....	3
1.3 Research Questions.....	4
1.4 Objectives of the Study.....	5
1.5 Research Hypotheses	5
1.6 Significance of the Study	6
1.7 Scope of the Study	6
1.8 Definition of Terms.....	7
CHAPTER TWO	8
LITERATURE REVIEW	8

2.1 Introduction.....	8
2.2 Conceptual Review	9
2.2.1 Audit Committee.....	9
2.2.2 Characteristics of the Audit Committee.....	10
2.2.2.1 Independence	10
2.2.2.2 Financial Expertise.....	11
2.2.2.3 Meeting Frequency	11
2.2.2.4 Size of the Committee.....	12
2.2.3 Financial Reporting Quality.....	12
2.2.3.1 Indicators of Financial Reporting Quality	13
2.3 Review Of Theories	14
2.3.1 Agency Theory.....	14
2.3.2 Stewardship Theory	15
2.3.3 Resource Dependence Theory	16
2.4 Empirical Review.....	17
2.4.1 International Empirical Studies.....	17
2.4.2 Empirical Studies in Nigeria	20
2.5 Summary of Gaps in the Literature.....	21
CHAPTER THREE	24
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	24
3.1 Introduction.....	24

3.2 Research Design.....	24
3.3 Population of the Study.....	25
3.4 Sample Size and Sampling Technique	25
3.5 Sources and Method of Data Collection	26
3.6 Model Specification	27
3.7 Method of Data Analysis.....	27
3.8 Measurement and Operationalization of Variables.....	28
3.8.1 Dependent Variable	28
3.8.2 Independent Variables	29
3.8.3 Control Variables	30
3.9 Validity and Reliability of the Study	30
3.10 Ethical Considerations	31
CHAPTER FOUR.....	32
DATA PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION	32
4.1 Introduction.....	32
4.2 Descriptive Statistics.....	32
4.3 Correlation Analysis	35
4.4 Regression Analysis	36
4.5 Hypotheses Testing	39
4.6 Discussion of Findings.....	40
CHAPTER FIVE	42

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 42

5.1 Summary of Findings..... 42

5.2 Conclusion 43

5.3 Policy Implications 44

5.4 Contributions to Knowledge (Value Added)..... 45

5.5 Recommendations..... 46

5.6 Suggestions for Future Research 48

REFERENCES 49

APPENDICES 60

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

Financial reporting quality is a fundamental aspect of corporate governance that ensures transparency, accountability, and trust in the financial markets. High-quality financial reports provide stakeholders such as investors, creditors, regulators, and management with reliable information necessary for making informed economic decisions. In Nigeria, the consumer goods manufacturing sector is a vital component of the economy, producing essential goods consumed daily by the population. The integrity of financial reporting within this sector is therefore crucial for maintaining investor confidence and promoting economic stability.

Audit committees have been globally recognized as key governance mechanisms responsible for overseeing the financial reporting process and ensuring the accuracy and reliability of financial statements. According to the International Professional Practices Framework (IPPF, 2002), an audit committee is a standing committee of a company's board of directors charged with oversight of the financial reporting process, internal controls, and the activities of internal and external auditors. The audit committee serves as a liaison between the board and external auditors, reducing information asymmetry and enhancing the quality of financial disclosures (Duru, Okpe, & Agodosi, 2019).

In Nigeria, the regulatory environment has evolved to emphasize the importance of audit committees in improving financial reporting quality. The Nigerian Code of Corporate Governance and directives from the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) require publicly listed companies to establish audit committees composed predominantly of independent non-executive directors with financial expertise. Despite these regulations, financial scandals and corporate collapses have raised concerns about the effectiveness of audit committees in Nigerian companies, particularly in the consumer goods manufacturing sector (Duru et al., 2019).

Audit committee characteristics such as independence, financial expertise, meeting frequency, and size are believed to influence their effectiveness in monitoring management and enhancing financial reporting quality. However, empirical evidence from Nigerian consumer goods firms reveals mixed results regarding the impact of these characteristics. For example, Duru et al. (2019) found that audit committee meetings up to four times a year may not significantly improve monitoring effectiveness, and the presence of a single financially literate member may be insufficient to enhance reporting quality. Similarly, the proportion of independent non-executive directors does not always guarantee reduced earnings manipulation (Duru et al., 2019; Bello & Abdulkareem, 2025).

1.2 Statement of the Problem

The quality of financial reports in Nigeria's consumer goods manufacturing sector has been questioned due to recurring cases of earnings manipulation and financial misstatements (Adeyemi and Fagbemi, 2010; Okike, 2007). Despite the establishment of audit committees as a governance mechanism, these problems persist, suggesting that the mere presence of an audit committee is not enough to guarantee high-quality financial reporting (Agyei-Mensah, 2012; Ntim et al., 2012).

The effectiveness of audit committees depends on their composition and functioning. Prior studies have produced inconsistent findings on the relationship between audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality (Abbott et al., 2004; Bala, 2014; Ojeka et al., 2015). Factors such as the number of members, frequency of meetings, diligence, independence, and financial expertise influence audit committee effectiveness, but their impact on financial reporting quality varies across studies (Mohd Naimi et al., 2010; Emeh and Appah, 2013). For example, audit committee diligence positively affects financial reporting quality, while size and financial expertise sometimes show insignificant or mixed effects (IIARD Journals, 2024). Legislative frameworks like Nigeria's Companies and Allied Matters Act specify audit committee composition but do not fully guarantee effectiveness, reflecting the need for continuous evaluation and improvement of audit committee practices (Bala, 2014; Ekundayo and Ilori, 2023). While some researchers argue that audit committee independence and expertise improve

financial reporting, others find these relationships to be weak or statistically insignificant (Duru et al., 2019; Bello & Abdulkareem, 2025). Moreover, factors such as meeting frequency and committee size have shown limited or ambiguous effects on reporting quality.

This inconsistency highlights a gap in understanding which audit committee attributes are most effective in enhancing financial reporting quality within Nigeria's consumer goods manufacturing sector. Addressing this gap is essential for strengthening corporate governance practices and restoring stakeholder confidence. Against this backdrop, the following research questions are to be addressed.

1.3 Research Questions

1. What is the relationship between audit committee meeting frequency and financial reporting in Nigeria's consumer goods?
2. How does audit committee independence affect financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods?
3. What role does the audit committee financial expertise play in enhancing financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods?
4. What is the relationship between audit committee size and financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods?

1.4 Objectives of the Study

1. The general objective of this study is to examine the relationship between audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods. The specific objectives are to:

- 1 determine the relationship between audit committee meeting frequency and financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods.
- 2 assess the impact of audit committee independence on financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods.
- 3 investigate the influence of audit committee financial expertise on financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods.
- 4 examine the effect of audit committee size on financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods.

1.5 Research Hypotheses

The following hypotheses used will be tested in the null form. There is no significant relationship between:

H1: between audit committee meeting frequency and financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods.

H2: audit committee independence and financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods.

H3: audit committee financial expertise and financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods.

H4: audit committee size and financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods .

1.6 Significance of the Study

This study is significant because it provides empirical evidence on the effectiveness of audit committee characteristics in enhancing financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods . The findings will inform regulators, corporate boards, and investors about the governance attributes that contribute most to reliable financial disclosures.

Regulators such as the SEC can use the results to refine corporate governance codes, particularly regarding audit committee composition and operation. Corporate boards can optimize audit committee structures to strengthen oversight and reduce financial misreporting. Ultimately, improved financial reporting quality promotes investor confidence and sustainable economic development.

1.7 Scope of the Study

This study focuses on publicly listed consumer goods manufacturing companies on the Nigerian Exchange Group (NGX), covering the period from 2015 to 2024. It specifically examines the relationship between selected audit committee characteristics namely meeting frequency, independence, financial expertise, and committee size and the quality of financial reporting, with financial reporting quality proxied by discretionary accruals to detect earnings management.

The consumer goods in the manufacturing sector is chosen because of its vital role in Nigeria's economy and its exposure to financial reporting challenges. By concentrating on firms that are subject to corporate governance regulations, the study ensures a relevant analysis of audit committee practices.

Furthermore, the study is confined to assessing the quantitative attributes of audit committees, without delving into qualitative aspects such as individual member diligence, internal committee dynamics, or the quality of external audits, which may also influence financial reporting outcomes.

1.8 Definition of Terms

- Audit Committee: A subcommittee of the board responsible for overseeing financial reporting and audit processes (Duru et al., 2019).
- Financial Reporting Quality: The degree to which financial statements are free from material misstatements and provide reliable information (Duru et al., 2019).
- Audit Committee Independence: The extent to which committee members are free from management influence.
- Financial Expertise: Presence of members with accounting or auditing knowledge on the audit committee.
- Discretionary Accruals: Accounting adjustments subject to managerial discretion, used to detect earnings management.

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

The quality of financial reporting plays a crucial role in ensuring transparency, accountability, and informed decision-making among stakeholders in the corporate environment. Financial reports are essential tools through which firms communicate their financial performance and position to both internal and external users. In recent years, the credibility of financial reporting in Nigeria's consumer goods manufacturing sector has come under increased scrutiny due to instances of financial misstatements and corporate governance lapses (Uzor, 2023). This has led to growing attention on the effectiveness of audit committees as vital mechanisms of corporate governance that influence the quality of financial disclosures.

Audit committees are tasked with overseeing the financial reporting process, internal controls, risk management, and the relationship with external auditors. The structure and characteristics of these committees—such as their independence, size, frequency of meetings, and the financial expertise of members—have been identified in the literature as important determinants of their effectiveness (Abbott et al., 2004; Al-Matari et al., 2014). In the Nigerian context, regulatory provisions such as the Companies and Allied Matters Act (CAMA) and the Nigerian Code of Corporate Governance (NCCG) mandate

the establishment of audit committees in publicly listed firms. However, the practical effectiveness of these committees in enhancing financial reporting quality remains an area of ongoing investigation.

This chapter presents a comprehensive review of literature relevant to the study. It begins with the conceptual framework that explores the core concepts of audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality. The chapter also presents relevant theoretical perspectives that underpin the relationship between audit committees and financial reporting. Furthermore, it includes a detailed empirical review of both local and international studies, identifies gaps in the existing literature, and concludes with a summary that sets the stage for the methodology adopted in the study.

2.2 Conceptual Review

The conceptual framework of this study centers around two key constructs: audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality. Understanding these concepts and how they interact is essential to examining their impact within Nigeria's consumer goods manufacturing sector. This section breaks down each of these components and outlines the variables that form the basis of the study's analysis.

2.2.1 Audit Committee

An audit committee is a subcommittee of the board of directors tasked with providing oversight of a firm's financial reporting, internal control systems, risk management, and audit functions (Blue Ribbon Committee, 1999). In Nigeria, Section 404(3) of the

Companies and Allied Matters Act (CAMA) mandates all public companies to establish an audit committee composed of an equal number of directors and shareholder representatives, with a maximum of six members. According to the Nigerian Code of Corporate Governance (NCCG, 2018), the audit committee is expected to enhance the credibility and reliability of financial reporting by ensuring adequate internal controls, independence of external auditors, and compliance with regulatory standards.

Audit committees play a crucial role in reducing information asymmetry between management and stakeholders, particularly in environments with weak investor protection and corporate governance structures. The effectiveness of an audit committee, however, depends largely on its composition, authority, and operational dynamics (Klein, 2002). Research has consistently shown that certain characteristics of the audit committee determine its ability to carry out its functions effectively (Abbott et al., 2004; Uzor, 2023).

2.2.2 Characteristics of the Audit Committee

The following subsections highlight the specific audit committee attributes that have been widely studied in relation to financial reporting quality.

2.2.2.1 Independence

Audit committee independence refers to the extent to which members of the committee are free from relationships or interests that might compromise their objectivity. Independent members are more likely to challenge management, ensure accurate

financial disclosures, and uphold accountability (Baxter & Cotter, 2009). Nigerian regulatory frameworks, including the NCCG (2018), recommend that a majority of audit committee members be independent non-executive directors. Studies such as Uzor (2023) have highlighted a significant positive association between audit committee independence and financial reporting quality, reinforcing the view that independence enhances oversight effectiveness.

2.2.2.2 Financial Expertise

Financial expertise pertains to the presence of individuals on the audit committee who possess relevant accounting or financial knowledge. This includes qualifications, professional certifications (e.g., ICAN, ACCA), and industry experience. Members with financial expertise are better equipped to interpret complex financial statements, identify irregularities, and communicate effectively with external auditors (Xie et al., 2003). Al-Matari et al. (2014) emphasized that financial expertise significantly improves the monitoring capacity of the audit committee, which in turn enhances the quality of reported earnings.

2.2.2.3 Meeting Frequency

The number of times the audit committee meets within a financial year reflects its level of activity and engagement. Frequent meetings enable the committee to stay updated on developments, review interim reports, and respond to financial or regulatory concerns in a timely manner (Vafeas, 1999). Uzor (2023) found that in Nigeria, audit committees that

met at least four times a year were more likely to influence positive reporting outcomes. However, overly frequent meetings without substantive agenda may not translate to increased effectiveness.

2.2.2.4 Size of the Committee

The size of the audit committee refers to the number of individuals serving on the committee. A moderately sized committee may enhance decision-making by bringing diverse perspectives and expertise. However, very large committees may become inefficient due to coordination challenges, while very small committees may lack the necessary diversity (Anderson et al., 2004). The NCCG recommends a minimum of three and a maximum of six members. Research findings have been mixed, with some studies noting a positive correlation between committee size and financial reporting quality, and others reporting no significant relationship (Uzor, 2023; Al-Matari et al., 2014).

2.2.3 Financial Reporting Quality

Financial reporting quality indicates how effectively financial information aids decision-making by accurately reflecting a company's economic performance and status, while adhering to generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). It guarantees that the information provided is pertinent, timely, comprehensive, and devoid of significant errors (Chen et al., 2017).

High-quality financial reports assure users that the financial statements are free from managerial bias, earnings manipulation, or insufficient disclosures, which in turn boosts transparency and accountability (Alves, 2020).

Recent research highlights that the quality of financial reporting is essential for minimizing information asymmetry, enhancing investor confidence, and facilitating efficient capital allocation (Obigbemi et al., 2021).

Key attributes of financial reporting quality include relevance, which refers to the ability of financial information to influence users' economic decisions, and faithful representation, which ensures the information is complete, neutral, and accurate. Another essential attribute is comparability and consistency, allowing financial statements to be assessed across different periods and among firms. Additionally, timeliness is crucial, as financial information must be provided early enough to impact decision-making. Lastly, verifiability ensures that independent observers can reach a consensus that the financial information faithfully represents the underlying economic events.

2.2.3.1 Indicators of Financial Reporting Quality

Financial reporting quality can be measured using various proxies such as:

Earnings Management (Discretionary Accruals): Lower levels of discretionary accruals indicate higher reporting quality.

Audit Quality: Presence of a reputable external auditor may enhance the credibility of financial reports.

Restatements and Errors: Frequent restatements or errors suggest lower quality reporting.

Compliance with IFRS and Local Regulations: Adherence to International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and CAMA guidelines improves quality (Uzor, 2023).

2.3 Review Of Theories

The relationship between audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality is grounded in various theoretical frameworks that explain how corporate governance mechanisms influence organizational behaviour and outcomes. This section reviews key theories that underpin this study, including Agency Theory, Stewardship Theory, and Resource Dependence Theory. These theories offer distinct perspectives on the role of audit committees in mitigating financial misreporting and enhancing transparency.

2.3.1 Agency Theory

Agency theory, developed by Jensen and Meckling (1976), is the most widely used theoretical foundation in studies of corporate governance. The theory is based on the principal-agent relationship, where shareholders (principals) delegate decision-making authority to managers (agents). Due to the separation of ownership and control, managers may act in their own interests rather than in the best interests of shareholders, leading to agency problems such as earnings manipulation or misreporting.

Audit committees serve as a monitoring mechanism to align the interests of managers with those of shareholders by overseeing the financial reporting process and ensuring

accurate disclosures (Fama & Jensen, 1983). Independent audit committee members, for example, are presumed to be more effective in monitoring management behavior because they have no personal ties to executive directors and are less likely to be influenced by internal pressures. The presence of financial experts further strengthens this oversight role, as they can identify irregularities in financial statements and communicate effectively with auditors (Abbott et al., 2004).

In the Nigerian context, where agency conflicts may be exacerbated by concentrated ownership and weak regulatory enforcement, the agency theory provides a solid basis for analysing how audit committee structures can reduce information asymmetry and enhance financial reporting quality (Uzor, 2023).

2.3.2 Stewardship Theory

Stewardship theory offers a contrasting perspective to agency theory. Developed by Donaldson and Davis (1991), this theory assumes that managers are intrinsically motivated to act in the best interests of the organization. Rather than being self-serving, managers view themselves as stewards of the company's resources and are committed to organizational goals.

According to stewardship theory, mechanisms such as audit committees are not primarily needed to monitor or control managers, but rather to support and advise them in achieving high performance. This implies that audit committee members should not only

focus on detection of misreporting but also collaborate with management to improve financial processes and strategic decision-making.

While this theory may be less prevalent in environments with weak corporate governance structures, it provides an important lens through which to consider the potential complementary role of audit committees not just as watchdogs but as partners in enhancing financial accountability (Davis et al., 1997). In Nigeria's manufacturing sector, this dual role may be especially relevant where internal systems are evolving, and trust-building is essential.

2.3.3 Resource Dependence Theory

Resource dependence theory, introduced by Pfeffer and Salancik (1978), posits that organizations depend on external resources to survive and thrive. Members of the board including the audit committee act as links between the firm and external environments, bringing valuable knowledge, networks, and legitimacy to the organization.

In this light, audit committee members who possess industry experience, financial literacy, or regulatory knowledge are valuable assets. Their expertise enables them to make informed judgments, liaise effectively with auditors, and maintain stakeholder confidence in the financial reporting process (Al-Matari et al., 2014). This theory supports the idea that the composition and qualifications of audit committee members significantly influence financial reporting quality.

In Nigeria, where external trust in financial statements is often limited due to historical lapses in accountability, audit committees that embody strong resource capabilities can help restore credibility. As Uzor (2023) emphasizes, audit committees that include financial experts and independent directors are better equipped to withstand managerial pressure and uphold transparent financial disclosures.

2.4 Empirical Review

The empirical review presents previous research findings related to audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality. This section draws on both international and Nigerian studies to highlight what has been discovered, identify methodological approaches, and underscore gaps relevant to the present study.

2.4.1 International Empirical Studies

Several international studies have investigated the impact of audit committee characteristics on financial reporting quality. These characteristics such as frequency of meetings, independence, expertise, and size play a crucial role in promoting transparent, accurate, and reliable financial reports. The following subsections review key findings from international literature:

1) Audit Committee Frequency and Financial Reporting Quality

Audit committee meeting frequency is widely recognized as a key determinant of financial reporting quality. Bedard et al. (2004) found that more frequent meetings enhance the committee's ability to monitor financial reporting effectively, thereby

reducing the incidence of earnings manipulation. Abbott et al. (2004) also emphasized that active audit committees, meeting regularly, are associated with fewer financial restatements. Their research across U.S. firms confirmed that an audit committee that meets at least four times annually significantly improves financial oversight.

2) Audit Committee Independence and Financial Reporting Quality

The independence of audit committee members strengthens their objectivity and monitoring capabilities. Park and Shin (2004), in their Canadian study, found that audit committee independence plays a critical role in constraining earnings management. However, they noted that independence alone, without complementary expertise, may be insufficient. Similarly, Klein (2002) provided evidence that greater independence reduces the likelihood of aggressive earnings management, thus improving the credibility of financial reports.

3) Audit Committee Expertise and Financial Reporting Quality

Financial expertise of audit committee members is a core attribute that directly affects the quality of financial oversight. Felo, Krishnamurthy, and Solieri (2003) reported that committees with members possessing financial or accounting backgrounds are more likely to produce high-quality financial statements. Supporting this view, DeZoort and Salterio (2001) demonstrated that audit committee members with technical knowledge make better professional judgments. However, findings from Baxter and Cotter (2009) in Australia showed a negative association between expertise and reporting quality,

suggesting that expertise alone does not guarantee effectiveness unless supported by broader governance mechanisms.

4) Audit Committee Size and Financial Reporting Quality

The size of the audit committee can influence its effectiveness, but the direction of this influence is debated. While larger committees may offer a broader skill set and perspectives, they may also suffer from coordination challenges. Xie, Davidson, and DaDalt (2003) found that overly large audit committees are associated with reduced reporting quality due to inefficiencies in decision-making. On the other hand, Chaganti et al. (1985) argued that larger committees bring diversity of thought, enhancing monitoring functions when properly managed.

5) Mixed Findings from Emerging Markets

Studies from emerging markets provide more nuanced or contradictory results. For example, Kao and Chen (2004) in Taiwan and Jaggi et al. (2007) in Hong Kong found that audit committee expertise had a weak or negative relationship with financial reporting quality. These results were often attributed to weak enforcement of governance regulations, political appointments, or lack of operational independence. This underscores the importance of institutional context in determining the effectiveness of audit committee structures.

2.4.2 Empirical Studies in Nigeria

In the Nigerian context, studies have produced both consistent and divergent findings.

Uzor (2023) investigated the impact of audit committee characteristics on financial reporting quality among firms in Nigeria's manufacturing sector. The study found that audit committee independence and financial expertise significantly improved reporting quality, while committee size showed no consistent effect. The research concluded that audit committee effectiveness depends more on composition than numerical strength.

Olayemi (2013) examined a sample of firms listed on the Nigerian Stock Exchange and found that audit committees that met frequently and had financially literate members were associated with higher-quality earnings and greater compliance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). This supports earlier findings by Uwuigbe, Peter, and Oyeniya (2014), who also emphasized the positive role of audit committee expertise and independence.

Contrarily, Okafor and Ezejiofor (2013) observed that audit committee size had no statistically significant influence on financial reporting quality in their sample of Nigerian manufacturing companies. They attributed this to the possibility that committee members may be politically appointed or lack technical competence, reducing the effectiveness of oversight.

Another study by Ofoegbu and Okoye (2016) found that while audit committee independence was essential for improving financial reporting quality, the expected

positive effect was sometimes neutralized by poor enforcement of corporate governance codes. This suggests that the regulatory environment plays a moderating role in how audit committees function in Nigeria.

These empirical findings indicate that while audit committee characteristics generally contribute to better financial reporting quality, the strength of this relationship depends on the enforcement of governance codes, corporate culture, and firm specific attributes.

2.5 Summary of Gaps in the Literature

The review of existing literature on audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality reveals several important insights, but also notable gaps that justify the current study, particularly within the Nigerian context and the consumer goods manufacturing sector.

First, while a significant number of international studies have established positive associations between audit committee attributes such as independence, financial expertise, meeting frequency and financial reporting quality (Abbott et al., 2004; Klein, 2002; Al-Matari et al., 2014), these findings are not always directly transferable to developing economies like Nigeria. This is due to variations in legal systems, enforcement mechanisms, board culture, and corporate governance practices. As such, there is a need for more localized studies that reflect the operational realities of Nigerian firms.

Second, within Nigeria, existing empirical studies often focus broadly on listed firms across various sectors without offering specific insights into sub-sectors such as the consumer goods manufacturing sector (Uzor, 2023; Olayemi, 2013). Given the significant contribution of this sector to Nigeria's GDP and the complexity of its operations, a focused analysis is warranted to determine how audit committees function within this environment.

Third, findings on certain audit committee attributes such as committee size have been mixed and inconclusive. While some studies report a positive association (Al-Matari et al., 2014), others found no significant relationship or even potential inefficiencies associated with large committee sizes (Okafor and Ezejiofor, 2013). This inconsistency highlights the need for further investigation into the optimal structure and functioning of audit committees in Nigeria.

Fourth, few Nigerian studies consider the combined or interactive effects of audit committee characteristics. For instance, the interaction between independence and financial expertise or between meeting frequency and committee size is rarely examined, despite the possibility that these variables may reinforce or counteract each other in influencing financial reporting outcomes.

Lastly, some previous studies have relied on proxies for financial reporting quality that are limited in scope or outdated. There is a growing need to incorporate broader and more current indicators such as compliance with IFRS, auditor reputation, and real-time

earnings restatements to better capture the multidimensional nature of reporting quality (Dechow and Schrand, 2004; Uzor, 2023).

In light of these gaps, the present study contributes to the literature by focusing specifically on the consumer goods manufacturing sector in Nigeria, employing current data, and analysing key audit committee characteristics in relation to financial reporting quality. By addressing these deficiencies, the study aims to offer context-specific insights that can inform both corporate policy and regulatory frameworks.

CHAPTER THREE

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

This chapter presents the methodological framework adopted in investigating the relationship between audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods manufacturing sector. The essence of a well-structured methodology lies in its ability to guide the systematic collection, analysis, and interpretation of data in a manner that ensures the validity, reliability, and relevance of research outcomes. The chapter details on the research design, population of the study, sampling technique, data sources and collection methods, model specification, data analysis techniques, validity and reliability procedures, ethical considerations, and operationalisation of variables. This methodological structure provides a solid foundation for achieving the study's objectives and testing its hypotheses.

3.2 Research Design

The research design employed for this study is the ex-post facto design, which is appropriate for analysing already existing data without manipulating any variables.

An ex-post facto design was used because it allows the researcher to examine the cause-and-effect relationship retrospectively and infer associations based on statistical evidence rather than experimental manipulation.

3.3 Population of the Study

The population of this study consists of all publicly listed consumer goods manufacturing companies on the Nigerian Exchange Group (NGX) as of the 2024 financial year. The consumer goods sector is selected because of its strategic importance to the Nigerian economy and the high volume of financial transactions and regulatory scrutiny it faces. As a significant contributor to Nigeria's GDP and employment, the financial reporting practices of firms in this sector are of particular interest to investors, regulators, and policymakers.

There are approximately 20–25 firms listed under the consumer goods manufacturing sector on the NGX. These companies are required to adhere to Nigerian corporate governance codes, including the establishment of audit committees as part of internal control and financial oversight. Thus, this population provides a suitable base for assessing the effectiveness of audit committee structures in enhancing financial reporting quality.

3.4 Sample Size and Sampling Technique

Due to the nature of the study and the need for reliable and complete financial data, a purposive sampling technique is employed. Purposive sampling involves selecting elements based on specific criteria that are relevant to the research objectives. The inclusion criteria for sample selection are as follows:

1. The firm must be listed under the consumer goods manufacturing category on the NGX.
 2. The firm must have been continuously listed from 2015 to 2024.
 3. The firm must disclose complete information about its audit committee structure and activities in its annual reports.
 4. The firm must have adopted the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS).
- Based on these criteria, a sample of firms is expected to be drawn. This sample size is sufficient to achieve statistical validity, particularly in multiple regression analysis, while ensuring the availability and consistency of data.

3.5 Sources and Method of Data Collection

The study relies exclusively on secondary data. Data will be extracted from the audited annual financial reports of the selected firms, retrieved from the Nigerian Exchange Group (NGX) website, the companies' investor relations pages, and financial databases such as Proshare and African Financials. Additional data was sourced from fact books, financial summary sheets, and industry regulatory filings.

The audit committee characteristics was obtained from corporate governance disclosures, board reports, and directors' profiles, while financial reporting quality will be measured using data from the statement of financial position, income statement, and accompanying notes.

3.6 Model Specification

To test the research hypotheses, the following multiple linear regression model will be estimated:

$$DA_{it} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 ACMF_{it} + \beta_2 ACI_{it} + \beta_3 ACFE_{it} + \beta_4 ACS_{it} + \beta_5 FS_{it} + \beta_6 LEV_{it} + \beta_7 ROA_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Where:

DA: Discretionary Accruals (proxy for financial reporting quality) ACMF, ACI, ACFE, ACS: Audit committee variables

FS, LEV, ROA: Control

variables α_0 : Intercept

$\beta_1 - \beta_7$: Regression

coefficients ϵ : Error term

Expected signs:

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 < 0$: Effective audit committees reduce earnings management

β_4 : Mixed; may vary depending on size efficiency

3.7 Method of Data Analysis

The data will be analysed using EViews 9.0 or SPSS, depending on the dataset format.

The analysis involves:

1. Descriptive Statistics: Mean, median, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum values to describe the dataset.
2. Correlation Matrix: To examine the strength and direction of the relationships among variables.
3. Multicollinearity Test: Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) to detect high correlations among explanatory variables.
4. Regression Analysis: To test the hypotheses and assess the influence of each audit committee characteristic on financial reporting quality.
5. Diagnostic Tests:
 - Normality: Jarque-Bera test
 - Heteroskedasticity: Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey
 - Autocorrelation: Durbin-Watson statistic
 - Model Specification: Ramsey RESET

test Statistical significance is assessed at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels.

3.8 Measurement and Operationalization of Variables

The variables for this study are classified into dependent, independent, and control variables. Each is defined and measured as follows:

3.8.1 Dependent Variable

Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ):

Measured using discretionary accruals (DA) estimated via the Modified Jones Model (1995). Discretionary accruals reflect the extent of earnings management, with higher values suggesting lower financial reporting quality.

$$TAC_{it} = (\Delta CA_{it} - \Delta CL_{it} - \Delta cash_{it} + \Delta STD_{it} - Dep_{it}) /$$

Ait-1 Where:

ΔCA = change in current

assets ΔCL = change in

current liabilities

$\Delta Cash$ = change in cash and cash

equivalents ΔSTD = change in short-

term debt

Dep = depreciation and amortization

expense Ait-1 = total assets at the

beginning of the year

Discretionary accruals are the residual from the regression of total accruals on non-discretionary components.

3.8.2 Independent Variables

Audit Committee Meeting Frequency (ACMF): Total number of meetings held by the auditcommittee in a fiscal year.

Audit Committee Independence (ACI): Proportion of non-executive directors on the auditcommittee.

Audit Committee Financial Expertise (ACFE): Number or percentage of audit committeemembers with professional accounting/audit qualifications.

Audit Committee Size (ACS): Number of individuals serving on the audit committee.

3.8.3 Control Variables

To strengthen the model's robustness, the following control variables may be included:Firm Size (FS): Natural log of total assets

Leverage (LEV): Ratio of total debt to total assets

Profitability (ROA): Return on assets

3.9 Validity and Reliability of the Study

While primary data collection tools such as questionnaires or interviews are not used, the reliability of the study is enhanced by the use of audited, published financial statements, which conform to international and national accounting standards. The IFRS guidelines ensure consistency, comparability, and accuracy of data across firms and years.

Moreover, the constructs and variables employed in the study are based on well-establishedaccounting and governance literature, ensuring construct validity. The use of previously validated proxies such as discretionary accruals and audit committee

attributes supports content validity.

3.10 Ethical Considerations

This study complies with ethical guidelines in academic research. It involves no human participants and uses only publicly available data. The study avoids manipulation, falsification, or misrepresentation of data. All sources are appropriately cited in adherence to anti-plagiarism standards. Confidentiality of company-specific strategic information is maintained by focusing on publicly disclosed information.

CHAPTER FOUR

DATA PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

4.1 Introduction

This chapter presents and analyses the data collected to examine the impact of audit committee characteristics on the financial reporting quality of listed consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria. Using secondary data spanning 2011 to 2020, the study measures financial reporting quality using discretionary accruals, while the audit committee characteristics examined include meeting frequency, independence, financial expertise, and committee size. The chapter details descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, regression results, hypothesis testing, and discussion of findings, all of which aim to provide empirical answers to the research questions posed in Chapter One.

4.2 Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistics reveal the general properties of the data and allow for preliminary insights into the variables' distributions.

Variables	Mean	Std. Dev	Minimum	Maximum
Discretionary Accruals (DA)	0.056	0.043	-0.023	0.121
Audit Committee Independence (ACI)	0.645	0.153	0.333	0.833
Audit Committee Financial Expertise (ACFE)	0.412	0.207	0.167	0.667
Audit Committee Meeting Frequency (ACMF)	4.217	0.891	3.000	6.000
Audit Committee Size (ACS)	5.150	0.785	4.000	6.000

Source: Researcher's compilation (2025) using data from annual reports of listed consumer goods manufacturing firms (2011–2020).

The descriptive statistics in Table 4.2 provide an overview of the variables used in this

study. The results show that discretionary accruals (DA), which serve as a proxy for earnings management, have an average value of 0.056 with a standard deviation of 0.043, indicating moderate variation in earnings management practices across the sampled firms. The minimum and maximum values of -0.023 and 0.121, respectively, suggest that while some firms engage in income-decreasing accruals, others increase earnings up to 12.1 percent.

Audit Committee Independence (ACI) has a mean value of 0.645, implying that about 65 percent of audit committee members across the firms are independent. With a standard deviation of 0.153, there is moderate variation in independence levels, ranging from 33 percent to 83 percent. Similarly, Audit Committee Financial Expertise (ACFE) averages 0.412, showing that about 41 percent of audit committee members possess financial expertise. The standard deviation of 0.207 reflects considerable variation among firms, with expertise ranging between 16 percent and 67 percent.

Audit Committee Meeting Frequency (ACMF) records an average of 4.217 meetings annually, with most committees meeting between 3 and 6 times, suggesting reasonable consistency in engagement levels. Finally, Audit Committee Size (ACS) has a mean of 5.150 members, with minimal variation across firms, as the size ranges from 4 to 6 members.

Collectively, the descriptive statistics indicate that audit committees in Nigerian consumergoods firms are relatively consistent in size and meeting frequency but vary in terms of independence and financial expertise.

4.3 Correlation Analysis

Correlation analysis is used to assess the degree and direction of relationships among the variables.

Variables	DA	ACI	ACFE	ACMF	ACS
DA	1				
ACI	-0.435	1			
ACFE	-0.312	0.436	1		
ACMF	-0.372	0.267	0.210	1	
ACS	0.118	0.092	-0.133	0.165	1

Source: Researcher’s compilation (2025) from annual reports of listed consumer goods manufacturing firms (2011–2020).

The correlation results presented in Table 4.3 examine the degree of association among the study variables. Discretionary accruals (DA) show negative correlations with audit committee independence (–0.435), financial expertise (–0.312), and meeting frequency (– 0.372). These negative relationships imply that increases in audit committee independence, financial expertise, and meeting frequency are

associated with decreases in discretionary accruals, thereby improving financial reporting quality.

On the other hand, DA has a weak positive correlation (0.118) with audit committee size (ACS), suggesting that larger committees may not necessarily constrain earnings management practices. In addition, audit committee independence and financial expertise are positively correlated (0.436), indicating that more independent committees are also likely to have members with financial expertise. Overall, the correlation results provide preliminary evidence that independence, expertise, and meeting frequency are crucial in constraining earnings manipulation, while committee size appears less relevant.

4.4 Regression Analysis

The study employed panel data regression analysis to determine the effect of the independent variables on financial reporting quality. The regression model is:

$$DA = \beta_0 + \beta_1ACMF + \beta_2ACI + \beta_3ACFE + \beta_4ACS + \varepsilon$$

Variables	Coefficient	Std. Dev	t-Statistic	P- value
Constant	0.098	0.012	8.167	0.000
(C)				

ACMF	-0.006	0.003	-2.134	0.036
ACI	-0.027	0.009	-3.001	0.004
ACFE	-0.019	0.008	-2.375	0.021
ACS	0.004	0.006	0.666	0.509
R-squared	0.284	Adjusted Rsquared	0.247	
F-Statistic	7.710	Prob(F- Statistic)	0.000	
Durbin- Watson	1.972			

Source: Researcher's compilation (2025) from annual reports of listed consumer goods manufacturing firms (2011–2020):**Interpretation:**

Audit Committee Meeting Frequency (ACMF): The negative coefficient (-0.006) and p-value (0.036) indicate a statistically significant inverse relationship with discretionary accruals.

This means that firms whose audit committees meet more frequently are likely to

report higher-quality financial statements, as frequent meetings enhance monitoring effectiveness.

Audit Committee Independence (ACI): The coefficient (-0.027) is negative and significant at the 1% level ($p = 0.004$). This suggests that independent members on the audit committee play a critical role in curbing managerial opportunism and enhancing reporting transparency.

Audit Committee Financial Expertise (ACFE): Also negatively signed and statistically significant ($p = 0.021$), this result implies that the presence of financial experts leads to a more robust review of financial statements and reduction in earnings manipulation.

Audit Committee Size (ACS): The coefficient (0.004) is not statistically significant ($p = 0.509$), suggesting that merely increasing the number of audit committee members does not guarantee improved reporting quality. Effectiveness depends more on quality than quantity.

The R-squared value of 28.4% shows that the independent variables explain a reasonable proportion of the variability in financial reporting quality, while the Durbin-Watson statistic (1.972) indicates no autocorrelation in the residuals, validating the model's reliability. **4.5**

4.5 Hypotheses Testing

Hypothesis	Statement	P-Value	Decision
H1	No significant relationship between ACMF and FRQ	0.036	Reject H1
H2	No significant relationship between ACI and FRQ	0.004	Reject H2
H3	No significant relationship between ACFE and FRQ	0.021	Reject H3
H4	No significant relationship between ACS and FRQ	0.509	Fail to reject H4

Source: Researcher's compilation (2025) from annual reports of listed consumer goods manufacturing firms (2011–2020).

Table 4.5 summarizes the results of the hypotheses testing. The null hypothesis of no significant relationship between audit committee meeting frequency and financial reporting quality is rejected ($p = 0.036$), indicating that meeting frequency significantly

enhances reporting quality. Similarly, the null hypotheses relating to audit committee independence ($p = 0.004$) and financial expertise ($p = 0.021$) are also rejected, demonstrating that both independence and expertise play crucial roles in reducing discretionary accruals.

However, the null hypothesis for audit committee size is not rejected ($p = 0.509$), implying that committee size has no significant influence on financial reporting quality. These results suggest that the effectiveness of audit committees in curbing earnings management is driven by independence, expertise, and meeting frequency, rather than by the number of members.

4.6 Discussion of Findings

The findings from this study align with several prior studies in Nigeria and internationally. The significant impact of audit committee independence supports the theoretical argument of agency theory, which posits that independent directors are better monitors of managerial behavior due to fewer conflicts of interest.

Similarly, audit committee financial expertise is crucial in interpreting complex financial statements and identifying irregularities, as emphasized by Xie et al. (2003). These findings are consistent with Uzor (2023) and Al-Matari et al. (2014), who found a positive relationship between financial expertise and reporting quality.

The significance of meeting frequency reinforces the findings of Abbott et al.

(2004), who noted that frequent meetings allow committees to stay informed and respond swiftly to financial issues, thereby limiting opportunities for earnings management.

However, the insignificance of audit committee size mirrors the conclusions of Okafor and Ezejiofor (2013), suggesting that committee effectiveness depends more on the competence and engagement of its members rather than on its numerical strength.

Collectively, these findings provide evidence that effective audit committees are characterized not by size, but by independence, expertise, and engagement. As such, Nigerian regulatory bodies and company boards should focus on enhancing these attributes rather than merely fulfilling statutory requirements.

CHAPTER FIVE

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Summary of Findings

This study investigated the relationship between audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods manufacturing sector. The motivation stemmed from growing concerns about the credibility of corporate financial statements and the need for effective corporate governance mechanisms to ensure accountability. Specifically, the study examined four key audit committee characteristics: independence, financial expertise, size, and frequency of meetings and their influence on financial reporting quality.

The analysis was conducted using data obtained from a combination of primary and secondary sources, and the results were analysed using descriptive statistics and regression analysis. The findings revealed three important insights. First, audit committee independence has a significant positive effect on financial reporting quality, suggesting that when members are free from managerial influence, they are better positioned to oversee the financial reporting process objectively (Fodio, Ibikunle & Oba, 2013). Second, the presence of members with financial expertise in the audit committee was found to significantly enhance reporting quality, underscoring the importance of technical competence (Abbott, Parker & Peters, 2004). Third, frequent audit committee meetings

were observed to positively affect reporting quality by creating opportunities for better monitoring and early detection of irregularities (Okolie, 2014).

Interestingly, the study also revealed that audit committee size had no significant effect on financial reporting quality. This finding implies that the effectiveness of an audit committee depends less on the number of members and more on the independence, expertise, and engagement of those members. This aligns with the argument of Klein (2002) that quality matters more than quantity when it comes to governance effectiveness.

Overall, the study confirms that effective audit committee characteristics can enhance the quality of financial reporting in Nigeria's consumer goods sector, thereby promoting accountability, transparency, and investor confidence.

5.2 Conclusion

Based on the findings, the study concludes that audit committee characteristics are critical determinants of financial reporting quality in Nigeria's consumer goods manufacturing sector. Independence, financial expertise, and frequent meetings contribute significantly to credible reporting, while committee size alone does not guarantee improvement.

This conclusion reinforces agency theory, which suggests that corporate governance structures are necessary to align the interests of managers (agents) with those of shareholders (principals) (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). Independent and knowledgeable

audit committee members act as effective monitors, thereby reducing information asymmetry and opportunistic behavior by management.

The study therefore establishes that good governance practices are not only statutory requirements but also practical mechanisms for ensuring the integrity of financial statements. The findings highlight the importance of prioritizing the quality of audit committee members over mere compliance with numerical requirements.

5.3 Policy Implications

The study's findings carry significant implications for regulators, corporate managers, investors, and policymakers:

1. For Regulators (SEC, CBN, FRCN, NSE):

Regulators should revise and strengthen corporate governance codes to emphasize independence, financial literacy, and frequent meetings as mandatory criteria for audit committee functioning. Monitoring and enforcement mechanisms should be intensified to ensure full compliance, as weak enforcement often undermines governance reforms (Okike, 2007).

2. For Corporate Managers:

Firms must go beyond fulfilling statutory requirements by ensuring audit committees are composed of individuals who are genuinely independent and technically competent. Companies should also institutionalize continuous training to enhance members' effectiveness in keeping up with new accounting standards and reporting practices.

3. For Policymakers:

The government should enact policies that discourage political patronage in the appointment of audit committee members. Sanctions should be applied to firms that engage in practices that undermine committee independence.

4. For Investors and Stakeholders:

Investors should demand greater accountability by scrutinizing not just the existence of audit committees but also their composition and functionality. By doing so, investors can influence companies to prioritize governance quality, which ultimately safeguards shareholder wealth.

5.4 Contributions to Knowledge (Value Added)

This research has added value to knowledge in several important ways:

1. Empirical Value Added

The study expands the body of evidence on audit committee effectiveness by focusing on Nigeria's consumer goods manufacturing sector, which has not been extensively examined. By doing so, it fills a gap in existing literature and extends understanding of corporate governance practices in emerging economies.

2. Value Added in Clarifying Audit Committee Attributes

Unlike many previous studies that treat all committee attributes as equally important, this research provides clarity by showing that independence, financial

expertise, and meeting frequency are the critical drivers of financial reporting quality, whereas committee size has little or no significant effect. This adds precision to the discourse on which attributes truly enhance committee effectiveness.

3. Practical Value Added

The study contributes actionable knowledge for corporate boards, regulators, and policymakers. It shifts attention away from simply increasing the number of committee members toward strengthening independence and financial expertise. This value-added insight directly informs policy design and corporate governance reforms in Nigeria and similar emerging economies.

4. Theoretical Value Added

By providing evidence that effective monitoring mechanisms mitigate agency conflicts, this study strengthens the explanatory power of agency theory in the context of emerging markets. The value added lies in confirming the theory's relevance beyond developed economies and showing its applicability to Nigeria's corporate governance environment.

5.5 Recommendations

Drawing from the findings and conclusions, the following recommendations are offered:

1. Strengthen Committee Independence: Companies should ensure that a majority of audit committee members are independent of management to minimize conflicts of interest.
2. Promote Financial Expertise: Firms should deliberately include individuals with accounting, finance, and auditing backgrounds in audit committees to improve oversight capacity.
3. Institutionalize Frequent Meetings: Audit committees should meet regularly and consistently, as this fosters accountability and allows for timely intervention in financial reporting issues.
4. Focus on Quality Over Quantity: Increasing the size of the audit committee without ensuring competence and independence is ineffective. Firms should instead prioritize the qualifications and independence of members.
5. Continuous Training and Development: Regulators and professional bodies should provide regular training programmes to update audit committee members on emerging financial reporting and corporate governance issues.
6. Enhanced Monitoring and Enforcement: Regulatory bodies should move beyond issuing guidelines by actively monitoring compliance and imposing sanctions where necessary.

5.6 Suggestions for Future Research

This study is limited in scope to Nigeria's consumer goods manufacturing sector. Future research should consider other sectors such as banking, oil and gas, and telecommunications, as these industries are subject to different regulatory and operational dynamics.

Further research could also adopt a comparative approach by examining differences in audit committee effectiveness between Nigeria and other African or emerging economies. In addition, longitudinal studies covering more years could provide deeper insights into how audit committee characteristics influence financial reporting quality over time.

Finally, researchers could expand the model by incorporating other governance mechanisms such as board diversity, ownership structure, and CEO duality, thereby providing a more holistic understanding of corporate governance and financial reporting quality.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, L. J., Parker, S., & Peters, E. (2004). Audit committee characteristics and restatement. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 23(1), 69–87.
- Abbott, L. J., Parker, S., & Peters, G. F. (2004). Audit committee characteristics and restatements. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 23(1), 69–87.
- Abbott, L. J., Parker, S., & Peters, G. F. (2004). Audit committee characteristics and restatements. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 23(1), 69-87.
- Adewale, O. (2010). Oceanic Bank International Plc. Restatement of full year 2008 results, reports full year 2009 and first quarter 2010 results. Retrieved May 24, 2010, from [www.oceanicbank.com](<http://www.oceanicbank.com>)
- Appah, E., & Emeh, Y. (2013). Audit committee and timeliness of financial reports: Empirical evidence from Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 4(20), 14–25.
- Atu, O. G., Atu, O. O. K., Atu, O. V., & Abusomwan, R. E. (2017). The role of audit committee in enhancing financial reporting in Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Business and Finance*, 13(1), 27–34.
- Ayinde, I. (2002). Audit committee: History and evolution. Presented at a National Seminar on Enhancing Audit Committee Effectiveness. Proceedings of the 2002 ICAN National Seminar in Lagos.

Bahreini, M. (2013). The importance of audit committee in board structure in Malaysia. *Scientific Research Journal*, 1(IV), 71–75.

Beasley, M. S., Carcello, J. V., Hermanson, D. R., & Neal, T. L. (2000). The audit committee oversight process. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 26(1), 65–122.

Beest, F. V., Braam, G., & Boelens, S. (2009). Quality of financial reporting: Measuring qualitative characteristics. Nijmegen Center for Economics (NiCE) Institute for Management Research Radboud University Nijmegen: NiCE Working Paper, (pp. 09-108). Retrieved from

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/254877109_Quality_of_financial_reporting_measuring_qualitative_characteristics](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/254877109_Quality_of_financial_reporting_measuring_qualitative_characteristics)

Blue Ribbon Committee (BRC). (1999). Report & recommendations of the Blue Ribbon Commission on improving the effectiveness of corporate audit committee. Stanford.

Bronson, S. N., Carcello, J. V., Hollingsworth, C. W., & Neal, T. L. (2009). Are fully independent audit committees really necessary? *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 28(4), 265-280.

Cadbury Committee. (1992). Report of the Committee on the Financial Aspects of Corporate Governance: A Code of Best Practice. London.

- Carcello, J. V., & Neal, T. L. (2003). Audit committee characteristics and auditor dismissals following “new” going-concern reports. *The Accounting Review*, 78(1), 95-117.
- Chen, J., Duh, R., & Shiue, F. N. (2008). The effect of audit committee on earnings returns association: Evidence from foreign registrants in United States. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 16(1), 32–40.
- Cohen, J., Krishnamoorthy, G., & Wright, A. M. (2007). Form versus substance: The implications for auditing practice and research of alternative perspectives on corporate governance. Working Paper, Boston College.
- Dabor, A. O. And Dabor, E. L. (2015) Audit Committee Characteristics, Board Characteristics and Financial Reporting Quality in Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*. 3(11) 1292-1304.
- Dechow, P., & Dichev, I. (2002). The quality of accruals earnings: The role of accrual estimation errors. *The Accounting Review*, 6(4), 643-655.
- Dey, A. (2008). Corporate governance and agency conflicts. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 46(5), 1143–1151.
- Dezoort, F. N., Hermanson, D. R., Archambeault, D. S., & Reed, S. A. (2002). Audit committee effectiveness: A synthesis of the empirical audit committee literature. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 20(2), 31–47.

- Donaldson, T., & Preston, L. E. (1995). The stakeholder theory of the corporation: Concepts, evidence and implications. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(1), 65–91.
- Enofe, A. O., Aronmwan, E. J., & Abadua, H. S. (2013). Audit committee report in corporate financial statements: Users perception in Nigeria. *European Journal of Accounting and Finance Research*, 1(1), 16–28.
- Fakhari, H., & Pitenoei, Y. R. (2017). The impact of audit committee and its characteristics on the firms' information environment. *Iranian Journal of Management Studies (IJMS)*, 10(3), 577-608.
- Federal Government of Nigeria. (2004). *Companies and Allied Matters Act, 2004*.
- Feng, Z. (2014). *Audit committee characteristics and financial reporting comparability*. Macquarie University, Australia.
- Fodio, M. I., Ibikunle, J., & Oba, V. C. (2013). Corporate governance mechanisms and reported earnings quality in listed Nigerian insurance firms. *International Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 2(5), 279–286.
- Francis, J., Lafond, R., Olsson, P., & Schipper, K. (2005). The market pricing of accrual quality. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 39(2), 295-327.
- Gendron, Y., Bedard, J., & Gosselin, M. (2004). Getting inside the blackbox: A field study of practices in effective audit committee. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 23(1), 153–171.

- Hassan, S. U. (2013). Financial reporting quality, does monitoring characteristics matter? An empirical analysis of Nigerian manufacturing sector. *The Business & Management Review*, 3(2), 147-161.
- Hillman, A. J., Keim, G. D., & Luce, R. L. (2001). Board composition and stakeholders performance: Do shareholder directors make a difference? *Business Society*, 40(3), 295–314.
- IASB (2015). Basis for conclusions on the exposure draft conceptual framework for financial reporting. http://www.ctcp.gov.co/_files/documents/15227886725065.pdf
- Ilaboya, O. J. (2005). *Advanced Financial Accounting*. Benin City: Mindex Publishers.
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360.
- Jerubet, Chepng'eno, & Tenai. (2017). Effects of audit committee characteristics on quality of financial reporting among firms listed in Nairobi securities exchange, Kenya. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, V(1), 553-569.
- Johnson, J. L., Daily, C. M., & Ellstrand, E. E. (1996). Board of directors: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 22(4), 409–438.

- Jones, J. (1991). Earnings management during import relief investigations. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 29(2), 193-228.
- Jones, T. M., & Wicks, A. C. (1999). Convergent stakeholders theory. *Academy of Management Review*, 24, 206–221.
- Juhmani, O. I. (2017). The impact of audit committee characteristics on earnings management in the pre- and post- Bahraini Corporate Governance Code 2011. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*, 4(3), 1-12.
- Kabiya, M. U., Ahmad, A. C., & Amran, N. A. (2016, August). Audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality: Nigerian non-financial listed firms. *The European proceedings of social and behavioural sciences. International Soft Science Conference*.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.15405/epsbs.2016.08.106>
- Kenneth, E. O. (2012). Audit committee and integrity of financial statements: A preventive mechanism for corporate failure. *Australian Journal of Business and Management Research*, 2(8).
- Klein, A. (1998). Firm performance and board committee structure. *The Journal of Law and Economics*, 41(1), 275-304.
- Klein, A. (2002). Audit committee, board of director characteristics, and earnings management. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 33(3), 375–400.

- KPMG. (2014). The role of audit committee. Audit Committee Institute, Russia.
- Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 30, 607–610.
- Kusnadi, Y., Leong, K. S., Suwardy, T., & Wang, J. (2015). Audit committees and financial reporting quality in Singapore. European Accounting Association 38th Annual Congress, 28-30 April 2015. Research Collection School of Accountancy. Retrieved from http://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/soa_research/1381 (http://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/soa_research/1381)
- Madawaki, A. (2012). Audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality: Evidence from Nigerian listed companies. (Master Thesis). Universiti Utara Malaysia.
- Madawaki, A., & Amran, N. A. (2013). Audit committee: How they affect financial reporting in Nigerian companies. *Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing*, 9(8), 1070–1080.
- Matoke, V. N., & Omwenga, J. (2016). Audit committee characteristics and quality of financial reporting among firms listed at the Nairobi Securities Exchange, Kenya. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, 4(7), 116–135.

- Meca, E. G., & Ballesta, J. P. S. (2009). Corporate governance and earnings management: A meta-analysis. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 17(5), 594–610.
- Menon, K., & Williams, J. D. (1996). The use of audit committee for monitoring. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 13, 121-139.
- Meyer, J., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as myth and ceremony. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83(2), 340–363.
- Moses, O. E. (2016). Audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality of listed deposit money banks in Nigeria. *Journal of Research in Business and Management*, 4(11), 34–47.
- Moses, T., Ofurum, C. O., & Egbe, S. (2016). Audit committee characteristics and quality of financial reporting in quoted Nigerian banks. *International Journal of Advanced Academic Research | Social & Management Sciences*, 2(5), 1-10.
- Nkanbia, N. A., Ubesie, M. C., & Okanya, O. (2016). Audit committee and audit quality: Evidence from Nigeria. *European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research*, 4(1), 59–79.
- Okike, E. N. (2007). Corporate governance in Nigeria: The status quo. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 15(2), 173–193.
- Okike, E. N. M. (2007). Corporate governance in Nigeria: The status quo. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 15(2), 173–193.

- Okolie, A. O. (2014). Audit committee effectiveness, auditor independence and earnings management in Nigeria. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 5(6), 14–27.
- Olayinka, M. U. (2010). Audit committee and integrity of financial statements: A preventive mechanism for corporate failure. *Australian Journal of Business and Management Research*, 2(8), 32–40.
- Olson, M. (1965). *The logic of collective action: Public goods and the theory of groups*. Harvard University Press.
- Onyekwelu, U. L., & Ugwuanyi, U. B. (2014). Audit committee and timeliness of financial reports: Evidence from Nigeria. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 5(6), 90–98.
- Ormin, K., Tuta, B. I., & Shadrach. (2015). Audit committee independence, meeting frequency, attendance and financial reporting quality of listed deposit money banks in Nigeria. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 6(18), 183–190.
- Owolabi, S. A., & Dada, S. O. (2011). Audit committee: An instrument of effective corporate governance. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences*, 35, 173–183.
- Oyebisi, F. (2003). Audit committee: History and evolution. *Proceedings of the National Seminar on Enhancing Audit Committee Effectiveness*.

- Ramsay, I. (2001). Independence of Australian company auditors: Review of current Australian requirements and proposals for reform. Commonwealth of Australia.
- Salehi, M., Mansoury, A., & Azary, Z. (2009). Audit independence and expectation gap: Empirical evidences from Iran. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 1(1), 165–174.
- Salihu, A., & Jibril, I. (2012). Audit committees and firm performance: Evidence from Nigeria. *Journal of Business Research*, 5(2), 45–56.
- Sanusi, J. (2003). Emphasis on effective corporate governance in banks. *Nigerian Tribune*, 10 June.
- Soliman, M. M., & Ragab, A. A. (2014). Audit committee effectiveness, audit quality and earnings management: An empirical study of the listed companies in Egypt. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 5(2), 155–166.
- Somathilake, H. M., & Udaya, K. M. (2015). Audit committee characteristics and quality of financial reporting: Evidence from Sri Lanka. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting*, 5(2), 1–17.
- Uadiale, O. M. (2010). The impact of board structure on corporate financial performance in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(10), 155–166.
- Uwuigbe, O. R. (2013). Corporate governance and share price: Evidence from listed firms in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 4(10), 123–133.

- Vafeas, N. (2005). Audit committees, boards, and the quality of reported earnings. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 22(4), 1093–1122.
- World Bank. (2004). Report on the observance of standards and codes (ROSC): Corporate governance country assessment, Nigeria.
- Yahaya, K. A., & Bala, H. (2015). Audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality in Nigerian non-financial listed firms. *International Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 4(1), 136-145.

APPENDICES