

**THE POTENTIAL OF CASSAVA MILL EFFLUENT IN THE BIOREMEDIATION OF
PETROLEUM HYDROCARBON-POLLUTED SOIL**

BY

**Helen Osemena OBAYUWANA
(PG/LSC0807180)**

**UNIVERSITY OF BENIN
BENIN CITY**

JULY, 2025

**THE POTENTIAL OF CASSAVA MILL EFFLUENT IN THE BIOREMEDIATION OF
PETROLEUM HYDROCARBON- POLLUTED SOIL**

BY

Helen Osemena OBAYUWANA

(PG/LSC0807180)

BSc, MSc (University of Benin, Benin City).

**A RESEARCH THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BIOCHEMISTRY,
UNIVERSITY OF BENIN, BENIN CITY IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY DEGREE
IN BIOCHEMISTRY**

JULY, 2025

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this research was carried out and submitted by OBAYUWANA Helen Osemena with matriculation number PG/LSC0807180 of the Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City.

Prof. G. E. Eriyamremu
(Project Supervisor)

Date

Prof. E. C. Onyeneke
(Head of Department)

Date

CERTIFICATION OF THESIS

We the undersigned attest and declare that the thesis of Mrs OBAYUWANA Helen Osemena titled The Potential of Cassava Mill Effluent in the Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbon- Polluted Soil has successfully passed the antiplagiarism test and does not violate any copyright regulations.

Prof. G. E. Eriyamremu
(Project Supervisor)

Date

Prof. E. C. Onyeneke
(Head of Department)

Date

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to El-Roi who has shown me great mercy and saw me through this research journey, all the glory is reserved for Him.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My profound gratitude goes to God Almighty for the gift of life, protection and provision throughout the period of my research work.

Special appreciation to my supervisor, Prof. G. E. Eriyamremu for your encouragement and contributions towards my academic upgrade and progress even from my first degree in the University. I appreciate the H. O D, Prof. E. C. Onyeneke for your contribution to the success of the research. My gratitude to the departmental post graduate coordinator, Dr C. O. Ugbeni for your welcoming gesture, the seminar coordinator, Dr S. I. Ojeaburu for facilitating the process for me, and all the lecturers in my MSc make-up program in the department. Thanks to Mrs E. F. Adeogun for your moral support.

My thanks to the laboratory staffs who made it easy for me to access the equipment during the work. Special thanks to Mr Akpe who was always available for me to access the laboratory; Mr Edobor and Mr Braimoh for every assistance given to me in the laboratory, and to others who have contributed in one way or the other to the success of the work, thanks.

My gratitude to Prof Apaja of the Department of Plant Biology and Biotechnology for your concern, advice and encouragement during my work. Thanks to Prof J. O. Osarumwense, Prof E. O. Oshomoh, for words of encouragement. I thank Dr D. O. Uwaya, Dr F. I. Okolafor, and Mr O. Salokun of the Faculty of Science Laboratory Technology for teaching me the use of statistical packages that I used for my data analysis. Thanks to Mr Ekhaton for making your mini weighing balance available for me during my work.

I appreciate my family especially my children for their moral support, my gratitude to my daughter who guided me in the use Excel for data presentation. Thank you all.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cover page	i
Title	ii
Certification	iii
Certification of Thesis	iv
Dedication	v
Acknowledgement	vi
Table of content	vii
List of tables	xiv
List of plates	xv
List of figures	xvi
List of Abbreviations	xviii
Abstract	xx
CHAPTER ONE	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background of Study	1
1.2. Justification of Study	3
1.3. Aims and Objectives of Study	4
CHAPTER TWO	5
LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1. Soil quality	5
2.2. Soil health	5
2.3. Soil function	6

2.4. Soil pollution	7
2.5. Causes of Soil pollution	7
2.6. Petroleum hydrocarbon	8
2.6.1. Toxicity of Petroleum hydrocarbon	9
2.6.2. Degradation of Petroleum hydrocarbon in the soil	11
2.7. Spent lubricating oil	11
2.7.1. Composition of spent lubricating oil	11
2.7.2. The toxicity of spent lubricating oil	12
2.8. Clean up options	12
2.8.1. Bioremediation of Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Soils	13
2.9. Bioremediation	14
2.9.1. Types of Bioremediation	14
2.9.2. Organic amendment	16
2.9.2.1. The benefits of organic waste amendment	17
2.9.2.2. Challenges and Limitations	18
2.10. Physicochemical Properties of Soil	18
2.10.1. Soil Texture	18
2.10.2. Soil pH	18
2.10.3. Cation Exchange Capacity	19
2.10.4. Organic Matter Content	19
2.10.5. Total organic carbon	20
2.10.6. Total Soluble Solids	20

2.10.7. Electrical conductivity	20
2.10.8. Soil Moisture	21
2.10.9. Soil nutrients	21
2.11. Biological Properties of Soil	22
2.11.1. Impact of Hydrocarbon Pollution on Soil Biology	24
2.11.2. Organic amendment and soil Biology	25
2.11.2.1. Mechanisms of Biological Enhancement	25
2.12. Soil Pollution and Phyto-toxicology	26
2.12.1. Synergistic Effects of Plant Adaptability and Organic Waste Amendment	27
2.12.2. Hydrocarbon Pollution and its Impact on Plant Pigments	27
2.12.3. The Cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L.) Crop	28
2.12.4. Sugar Expression as an Indicator of Plant Energy Metabolism	31
2.13. Cassava Mill Effluent: An organic waste	32
CHAPTER THREE	33
MATERIALS AND METHODS	33
3.1. Materials	33
3.1.1. Sample Collection	33
3.1.2. Preparation of Soil for Pollution and Treatment	33
3.2. Methods	34
3.2.1. Characterization of CME	34
3.2.1.1. Measurement of pH	34
3.2.1.2. Measurement of Electrical Conductivity	35

3.2.1.3. Measurement of total suspended solids	35
3.2.1.4. Estimation of cyanide	36
3.2.1.5. Determination of basic cations (K^+ , Na^+ , Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+})	36
3.2.1.6. Determination of Organic Carbon content (C_{org})	37
3.2.1.7. Elemental analysis	38
3.2.1.8. Determination of Nitrogen	39
3.2.1.9. Determination of Phosphorous	40
3.2.2. Characterization of SLO	41
3.2.2.1. Estimation of Total hydrocarbon	41
3.2.3. Assessment of the changes in the Physicochemical Parameters of the Soil	42
3.2.3.1. Measurement of Soil pH	42
3.2.3.2. Measurement of soil Electrical Conductivity	42
3.2.3.3. Measurement of total soluble solids	43
3.2.3.4. Determination of exchangeable acidity	44
3.2.3.5. Determination of exchangeable bases	45
3.2.3.6. Determination of Cation exchange capacity	45
3.2.3.7. Determination of Soil Organic Carbon	46
3.2.3.8. Soil Organic Matter	47
3.2.3.9. Elemental Analysis	47
3.2.3.10. Determination of Nitrogen	48
3.2.3.11. Determination of Phosphorous	49
3.2.3.12. Estimation of Total hydrocarbon	51
3.2.4. Assessment of Biological Activities of the Soil	51
3.2.4.1. Assay for Soil Enzymes	51

3.2.4.1.1. Urease Activity	51
3.2.4.1.2. Acid and Alkaline Phosphatases Activity	52
3.2.4.1.3. Determination of Glucosidase Activity	52
3.2.4.1.4. Dehydrogenase Activity	53
3.2.4.1.5. Determination of Fluorescein diacetate hydrolase Activity	54
3.2.4.2. Determination of microbial load	54
3.2.5. Assessment of the Recovery of the Amended Soil	55
3.2.5.1. Assessment of plant morphology	55
3.2.5.2. Assessment of plant physiology	56
3.2.5.2.1. Assessment of plant pigments	56
3.2.5.2.2. Assessment of Stress Adaptability of the Plants	57
3.2.5.2.2.1. SOD Assay	57
3.2.5.2.2.2. Catalase Assay	58
3.2.5.2.2.3. MDA (Lipid peroxidation measurement- TBRS) Assay	59
3.2.5.2.2.4. Estimation of Proline	60
3.2.5.2.2.5. Estimation of Ascorbic acid	61
3.2.5.3. Assessment of Energy Metabolism in the Plant	61
3.2.5.3.1. Estimation of Glucose	61
Statistical Analysis	62
CHAPTER FOUR	63
RESULTS	63
4.1. Properties of CME under different conditions	63
4.1.1. Properties of CME and SLO at a constant condition	63
4.2. Total petroleum hydrocarbon composition of SLO	63
4.3. Physicochemical Properties of the Amended Soil at 3 and 6 Months period	67

4.3.1. Soil Texture	67
4.3.2. The pH	67
4.3.3. Total petroleum hydrocarbon in polluted soil	67
4.3.4. Water holding capacity	68
4.3.5. Electrical Conductivity	68
4.3.6. Cation Exchange Capacity	68
4.3.7. Total Organic Carbon and Organic Matter	69
4.3.8. Nitrogen content	69
4.3.9. Phosphorus content	69
4.3.10. Metallic contents	70
4.4. The response of Biological Activities monitored at 3 and 6 months of amendment	87
4.4.1. Changes in Enzyme Activity	87
4.4.2. Changes in soil microbial load	88
4.5. The morphological parameters of <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> in the amended and unamended soils	97
4.6. The Pigment contents of the <i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	101
4.7. The stress level of the <i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	103
4.8. The Glucose content as an expression of Energy metabolism in <i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	110
CHAPTER FIVE	112
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	112
5.1. Discussion	112
5.1.1. Characterisation of CME	112
5.1.2. Characterization of SLO	115
5.1.3. Changes in physicochemical properties of the amended soils at 3 and 6 months	116
5.1.3.1. Soil Texture	116

5.1.3.2. The pH	117
5.1.3.3. Total petroleum hydrocarbon in polluted soil	118
5.1.3.4. Water holding capacity	119
5.1.3.5. Electrical Conductivity	119
5.1.3.6. Cation Exchange Capacity	120
5.1.3.7. Total Organic Carbon and Organic Matter	121
5.1.3.8. Nitrogen content	122
5.1.3.9. Phosphorus content	124
5.1.3.10. Metallic contents	124
5.1.4. The response of Biological Activities at 3 and 6 months of amendment	126
5.1.4.1. Changes in Enzyme Activity	126
5.1.4.2. Changes in soil microbial load	130
5.1.5. Assessment of soil quality recovery	131
5.1.5.1. The morphological parameters of the <i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	131
5.1.5.2. The plant Pigments	133
5.1.5.3. Stress adaptability in the plant	136
5.1.5.4. Glucose level as an expression of Energy metabolism in the plant	141
5.2. Conclusion	143
5.3. The findings	143
5.4. Contribution to Knowledge	144
REFERENCES	145
APPENDIX	166

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
4.1: Physicochemical characteristics of CME under different conditions	64
4.2: Physicochemical characteristics of CME and SLO at a constant condition	65
4.3: TPH Composition of SLO	66
4.4a. Soil Texture at 0-month	71
4.4b. Soil Texture at 3-month	71
4.4c. Soil Texture at 6-month	72
4.5: Changes in Urease Activity	89
4.6: Changes in Acid Phosphatase Activity	90
4.7: Changes in Alkaline Phosphatase Activity	91
4.8: Changes in Flourescein Diacetate Hydrolase Activity	92
4.9: Changes in Glucosidase Activity	93
4.10: Changes in Dehydrogenase Activity	94
4.11: Changes in total heterotrophic bacteria (THB)	95
4.12: Changes in total heterotrophic fungi (THF)	96
4.13: Pods Productivity of <i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	100
4.14: Pigments content of <i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	102

LIST OF PLATES

Plate	Page
4.1A: Plant morphology in unpolluted soils	98
4.1B: Plant morphology in polluted soils	98
4.2: Pods and seeds of <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (cowpea) produced in the soils	100

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1:	Ecosystem services delivered by soils	6
2.2:	Crude oil pollution of soil at Eleme L. G. A., Port Hacourt, Nigeria.	11
4.1a:	pH changes in the unpolluted soils at 3 and 6 months	73
4.1b:	pH changes in the polluted soils at 3 and 6 months	73
4.2:	Changes in THC content of polluted soil at 3 and 6 months	74
4.3a:	Changes in WHC of unpolluted soil at 3 and 6 months	75
4.3b:	Changes in WHC of polluted soil at 3 and 6 months	75
4.4a:	Changes in Electrical conductivity of unpolluted soil at 3 and 6 months	76
4.4b:	Changes in Electrical conductivity of polluted soil at 3 and 6 months	76
4.5a:	Changes in the Cation exchange capacity of unpolluted soil at 3 and 6 months	77
4.5b:	Changes in the Cation exchange capacity of polluted soil at 3 and 6 months	77
4.6a:	Changes in Total Organic Carbon of unpolluted soil at 3 and 6 months	78
4.6b:	Changes in Total Organic Carbon of polluted soil at 3 and 6 months	78
4.7a:	Changes in Organic Matter content of unpolluted soil at 3 and 6 months	79
4.7b:	Changes in Organic Matter content of polluted soil at 3 and 6 months	79
4.8a:	Changes in Available Nitrogen in unpolluted soil at 3 and 6 months	80
4.8b:	Changes in Available Nitrogen in polluted soil at 3 and 6 months	80
4.9a:	Changes in Total Nitrogen of unpolluted soil at 3 and 6 months	81
4.9b:	Changes in Total Nitrogen of polluted soil at 3 and 6 months	81
4.10a:	Changes in Available Phosphorus of unpolluted soil at 3 and 6 months	82
4.10b:	Changes in Available Phosphorus of polluted soil at 3 and 6 months	82

4.11a:	Changes in Total Phosphorus of unpolluted soil at 3 and 6 months	83
4.11b:	Changes in Total Phosphorus of polluted soil at 3 and 6 months	83
4.12a:	Changes in Pb content of unpolluted soil at 3 and 6 months	84
4.12b:	Changes in Pb content of polluted soil at 3 and 6 months	84
4.13a:	Changes in Zn content of unpolluted soil at 3 and 6 months	85
4.13b:	Changes in Zn content of polluted soil at 3 and 6 months	85
4.14a:	Changes in Fe content of unpolluted soil at 3 and 6 months	86
4.14b:	Changes in Fe content of polluted soil at 3 and 6 months	86
4.15:	The morphology of the <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> in the soils compared with unamended	98
4.16:	SOD activity of the <i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	105
4.17:	Catalase activity of the <i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	106
4.18:	MDA content of the <i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	107
4.19:	Proline content of the <i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	108
4.20:	Ascorbic Acid content of the <i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	109
4.21:	Glucose content of the <i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	111

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AcP	Acid phosphatase
AIP	Alkaline phosphatase
AsA	Ascorbic acid
AAS	Atomic absorption spectrophotometer
Av N.	Available Nitrogen
Av. P.	Available Phosphorus
BTEX	Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylene
CAT	Catalase
CEC	Cation Exchange Capacity
CME	Cassava mill effluent
CN	Cyanide
C _{org}	Organic carbon
DEH	Dehydrogenases
EA	Exchangeable Acidity
EB	Exchangeable Bases
EC	Electrical conductivity
FDA	Fluorescein diacetate
Fw	Fresh weight
MDA	Malondialdehyde
OM	Organic Matter
PAHs	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
pNPG	<i>p</i> - Nitrophenyl- β -D-glucoside
POD	Peroxidase
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
SOD	Superoxide dismutase
TOC	Total organic carbon
TN	Total Nitrogen
TP	Total Phosphorus

TSS	Total Soluble Solids
SLO	Spent Lubricating oil
SOC	Soil Organic Carbon
TDS	Total dissolved solids
THAM	Tris- hydroxyl methyl-amino Methane
THB	Total heterotrophic bacteria
THF	Total heterotrophic fungi
THC	Total hydrocarbon
TPH	Total petroleum hydrocarbon
WHC	Water holding capacity

Abstract

The presence of petroleum-derived compounds in the soil constitutes a significant threat to soil health and agricultural productivity. In the search for the environmentally friendly approach in the bioremediation strategies for restoration of soil health, cassava mill effluent (CME) provides an accessible, available and affordable nutrient-rich organic biowaste of cassava processing for the application of organic amendment and a sustainable biostimulant for restoration of soil health. This study investigated the efficiency of CME as a source of organic nutrients in the bioremediation of hydrocarbon degraded soil for a sustainable bioremediation strategy. This was achieved by polluting clean soil, collected and weighed into containers, with spent lubricating oil (SLO) as the source of petroleum hydrocarbon at 10% (w/w), amended with CME at 2.5% (v/w) at different frequency of daily, weekly, monthly and once for a period of six months but the control soil was not treated. The percentage changes in the quality of the amended soil were monitored at 3 and 6 months periods. Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) were grown on the amended soils at the end of the treatment to evaluate recovery of soil quality and function. Baseline data were collected at the start of the study, and others at 3 and 6 months. For physicochemical changes in the soil, the following parameters were analysed: Soil texture, pH, cation exchange capacity (K^+ , Na^+ , Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Al^{3+}), electrical conductivity (EC), total petroleum hydrocarbon (THC), and metals (Fe, Zn, Pb). Nitrogen (N_2) and Phosphorus (P) contents, total organic carbon (TOC) and organic matter (OM) were also analysed. The biological activities assessed include the activities of soil enzymes (urease, phosphatase, dehydrogenase, fluorescein diacetate hydrolase and glucosidase), and microbial load. The grown plants were assessed morphologically and in pigment content, stress adaptability and energy metabolism. The CME and SLO were characterised for their physicochemical and hydrocarbon composition, respectively. All the parameters were analysed using standard laboratory procedures and assay protocols. Data obtained were analysed using Graph Pad version 9.4 and results were expressed as mean \pm standard error of mean (SEM) of three replicates. The results showed significant ($p < 0.05$) changes in physicochemical parameters and biological activities in the amended soils which also reflected in the plant's morpho- physiological assessment. This

revealed an effect of CME on soil and plant growth qualities. The percentage effect varied with treatment, with most frequently treated having over 50% recovery while untreated had the least. This was evident in crop yield, SOD, Catalase, MDA, Ascorbic acid and Proline levels. In conclusion, the findings in this study showed that with appropriate management, CME can serve as a sustainable bioremediating agent to restore hydrocarbon- degraded soils, supporting a circular economy, and reduce the need for expensive and harmful physical or chemical bioremediation methods as well as a biofertilizer for nutrient enrichment in soils for crop health and productivity.