

**THE IMPACT OF THE COLD WAR POLITICS ON THE STRUGGLE
AGAINST APARTHEID IN SOUTH AFRICA (1945-1991)**

BY

PHILIP RUKEME OKENINI

ART2000455

**DEPARTMENT OF HISTORY AND INTERNATIONAL STUDIES
FACULTY OF ARTS
UNIVERSITY OF BENIN
BENIN CITY**

FEBRUARY, 2025

**THE IMPACT OF THE COLD WAR POLITICS ON THE STRUGGLE
AGAINST APARTHEID IN SOUTH AFRICA (1945-1991)**

BY

PHILIP RUKEME OKENINI

ART2000455

**A PROJECT SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HISTORY AND
INTERNATIONAL STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF BACHELOR OF ARTS (B.A)
HONOURS DEGREE IN INTERNATIONAL STUDIES AND DIPLOMACY**

FEBRUARY, 2025

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this project was carried out by **PHILIP RUKEME OKENINI**

in the Department of History and International Studies, University of Benin, under my supervision.

MISS OSARENOMA OMORUYI
(Project Supervisor)

DR. FRANK IKPONMWOSA
(Head of Department)

Date

Date

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to God Almighty for his sufficient grace and continuous love.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My profound gratitude goes to God Almighty, for His great mercies and grace upon my life, from beginning to end. His love has kept and brought me this far.

I remain grateful to my project supervisor, Miss Osarenoma Omoruyi, for her patience in putting me through this work. Ma, I appreciate your guidance and supervision and your words of encouragement which kept me going, Thank you for everything.

My hearty appreciation also goes to my parents, Mr. Okenini Victor ESQ and Mrs. Okenini Jemimah for their roles in helping me achieve this and seeing me through my period of studies Thank you so much for your love and endless prayers. I still want to appreciate my dearest friends, Emmanuel, Matthew, Oghosa, Naomi, Edith, Osahon, Faith and Jessica and I love you all big time. My appreciation also goes to my wonderful sisters, Rebecca, Victoria and Ruth for their prayers throughout my studies in school. And to my aunts, Mrs. Florence Okpalaku, Aunty Sarah and Aunty Felly, thanks for always for being there.

I would also want to show my special appreciation to all my lecturers, from 100 level to the final year, for their tireless efforts in impacting knowledge on us; I say a big thank you to Dr. Charles , Dr. Williams Ehizuwa Orukpe, Mr. Victor Aiguobarueghian, Mr. Daniel Orobator, Dr. Daniel Olisa Iweze ,Mrs. L. O. Enadeghe, Late Dr. M.Osiki (may his soul rest in peace), Dr. Albert, Dr. A.O. Nwaokocha, Dr. Frank Ikponmwosa,

Prof. E.A. Ifidon, Prof. Benson Osadolor, Prof. J.I. Osagie, and Prof. Eddy Erghagbe.

May God bless you all greatly.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title Page-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ii
Certification	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	iii
Dedication	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
										iv
Acknowledgements	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	v
Table of Contents	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	vi

CHAPTER ONE: BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY

Introduction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Aim of the Study-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
Methodology-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Scope of the Study-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Literature Review	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Chapter Outline-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
Endnotes-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11

CHAPTER TWO: THE COLD WAR AND SOUTH AFRICA'S GEOPOLITICAL CONTEXT

The Role of Western Powers--	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
The Role of the Eastern Bloc--	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
Non Aligned Movement and Global South-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
Contributions of African Nations-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
Challenges Faced by Non-Aligned States-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
Endnotes-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19

CHAPTER THREE: INTERNATIONAL RESPONSES TO APARTHEID

Western Responses to Apartheid-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21
Diplomatic Hesitancy-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22
Eastern Bloc Responses to Apartheid-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23

Roles of International Organizations--	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
Organization of African Unity (OAU)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
The Commonwealth of Nations-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26
Role of the Non-Governmental Actors-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27
Media Coverage and Public Opinion -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28
Endnotes -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29

CHAPTER FOUR: THE LEGACIES OF APARTHEID AND POST APARTHEID CHALLENGES

Racial Inequalities -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32
Political Legacies-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33
Post Apartheid Challenges -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35
Ongoing Racial and Economic Inequalities --	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36
Endnotes -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38

CHAPTER FIVE

Conclusion --	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38
Bibliography -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

CHAPTER ONE

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Introduction

The Cold War significantly influenced global politics, shaping alliances, conflicts, and ideologies from 1945 to 1991. In South Africa, Apartheid—a legalized system of racial segregation—became a focal point of international contention. The rise of Apartheid in 1948 under the National Party not only entrenched racial discrimination but also positioned South Africa as a strategic player in Cold War geopolitics ¹.

During this period, global superpowers like the United States and the Soviet Union exploited South Africa's political climate to advance their ideologies. The U.S. and its Western allies often turned a blind eye to Apartheid's atrocities, prioritizing South Africa's anti-communist stance as a key ally against Soviet expansion.² Conversely, the Soviet Union supported liberation movements, portraying itself as a champion of oppressed peoples in Africa. Apartheid policies, such as the Group Areas Act (1950) and the Population Registration Act (1950), created widespread racial and economic inequalities ³. These policies were enforced against a backdrop of increasing Cold War tensions, where the competing interests of superpowers shaped both the domestic and international responses to Apartheid. The African National Congress (ANC) and other Anti-Apartheid movements leveraged Cold War dynamics to garner international support, but this support was often mediated by ideological and geopolitical considerations.

The ideological divide of the Cold War played a pivotal role in shaping global responses to Apartheid in South Africa, particularly through the imposition—or lack thereof—of sanctions, boycotts, and diplomatic efforts. Western nations, primarily led by the United States and its allies, often hesitated to impose comprehensive economic sanctions on South Africa ⁴, despite widespread international condemnation of these policies. This reluctance stemmed from a strategic desire to maintain South Africa as a capitalist stronghold and a key ally in the fight against the spread of communism. The regime capitalized on this alignment, positioning itself as a defender of Western values and an essential bulwark against Soviet influence in southern Africa.

On the other hand, Eastern bloc nations, led by the Soviet Union, adopted a diametrically opposed stance. These countries provided material, logistical, and ideological support to liberation movements like the African National Congress (ANC) and the Pan Africanist Congress (PAC). The Soviets viewed the Anti-Apartheid struggle not only as a moral imperative but also as an opportunity to challenge Western dominance and expand their influence in Africa ⁵. This support included arms, training for guerrilla fighters, and diplomatic backing in international forums like the United Nations. The polarized global landscape had significant implications for South Africa. While the West's hesitation to impose sanctions prolonged the Apartheid regime's economic stability, the Eastern bloc's advocacy amplified the global Anti-Apartheid movement.

Countries in the Global South, many of which were aligned with the Non-Aligned Movement, also played a critical role by supporting sanctions and isolating South Africa diplomatically ⁶. This geopolitical tug-of-war underscored the dual role of Cold War politics in both sustaining and challenging apartheid. It highlighted the complexities of international relations during this period, where ideological priorities often overshadowed the moral imperative to dismantle racial oppression. Ultimately, this polarization contributed to the global pressure that, alongside internal resistance, led to the eventual collapse of apartheid.

From the foregoing, this study hence, aims to examine the intersection of Cold War politics and Apartheid, analyzing how superpower rivalries influenced South Africa's geopolitical position, international interventions, and the eventual dismantling of this act of racial segregation.

Aim of the Study

The focal aim of this study is to analyze the impact of the Cold War on the struggle against Apartheid in South Africa between the years 1945 and 1991. Through the examination this study will cover, a broader understanding of the effects geopolitical decisions can have on states will be attained. The major objectives guiding this study include:

1. to examine the historical beginning of Apartheid in South Africa.

2. to analyze the influence of the Cold War on geopolitics and how it was pivotal in South Africa's Anti-Apartheid struggle.
3. to examine how the Cold War affected international responses to the South Africa responses.
4. to discuss the impact and legacies of the Cold War on South Africa policies

Methodology

The reliability and authenticity of any research are contingent upon the methodologies employed, particularly the systematic procedures for gathering, processing, and analyzing data. This research adopts a historical research methodology, and throughout the study, data will be sourced from secondary sources.

This project shall rely on secondary sources. These sources include textbooks, academic journals, articles, newspapers, documentaries, These will be sourced from the Internet, and online libraries.

Scope of the Study

The scope of this study is to avoid a non-directional compilation, which will counter the goal of the study; this paper will have specific aspects of the impact of the Cold War politics on the struggle against apartheid in South Africa from 1948 to 1994.

Literature Review

The Cold War had a profound impact on South Africa, both in terms of how the Apartheid regime interacted with global superpowers and in the ways in which the global

struggle between capitalism and communism influenced the domestic and international struggle against Apartheid. South Africa's importance during the War cannot be overstated, particularly in terms of its geopolitical significance. Sue Onslow's *Cold War and Southern Africa* argues that the Apartheid regime strategically aligned itself with Western powers, emphasizing South Africa's role as a defender of Western values and a bulwark against communism. Onslow explains how this strategic value was reinforced through agreements like Simonstown, which allowed the British navy to use South African ports in exchange for military cooperation. This partnership ensured South Africa remained aligned with the West, despite increasing global condemnation of Apartheid⁷.

Chris Saunders, in his article "The Cold War and Southern Africa," published in *The Cambridge History of the Cold War*, extends this argument by highlighting how South Africa's geographical location made it a key Cold War ally for both the United States and the United Kingdom. Saunders observes that during this fight, Western powers chose to overlook Apartheid in favor of securing South Africa's loyalty as an anti-communist ally.⁸ This geopolitical strategy contributed to South Africa's ability to maintain the Apartheid regime for decades.

Jeffrey James Byrne's *Africa's Cold War* complements these works by exploring the dynamics between superpowers and their involvement in southern Africa. Byrne argues that the War rivalry shaped both the diplomatic and military actions of the superpowers, leading to a divided international response to Apartheid. The United States' reluctance to impose sanctions and its continued support for South Africa, due to Cold

War priorities, contrasts with the Soviet Union's unequivocal backing of African liberation movements, such as the ANC.⁹ This support by the Eastern bloc is also emphasized in Robert J. McMahon's *The Cold War in the Third World*, which places South Africa's Apartheid struggle within the broader context of Cold War conflicts, noting the ideological battle between the two superpowers in their engagement with the African continent.¹⁰

Liberation movements, particularly the African National Congress (ANC), became central actors in the global ideological struggle between communism and capitalism. A.J. Christopher's article "Apartheid Planning in South Africa,"¹¹ published in *The Geographical Journal*, examines how the Apartheid regime's policies of racial segregation were intricately linked to the global conflict dynamics. Christopher argues that the geographical and urban planning strategies of Apartheid were not only designed to segregate and control the black population but also to protect the regime from potential communist insurgencies. This urban planning, which systematically segregated urban spaces based on race, was part of the broader Cold War-era effort to prevent the spread of communism and maintain white minority control. His work is critical in understanding how Apartheid's spatial policies were not only a form of domestic oppression but also part of South Africa's broader Cold War strategy.¹²

John Daniel's article "Racism, the Cold War, and South Africa" in *Cold War in Southern Africa* further explores the ideological dimensions of the Cold War in relation to Apartheid. Daniel examines how Apartheid was not only a system of racial oppression

but also an ideological weapon used by the West to counter Soviet influence. Daniel discusses how the Western powers, particularly the United States and the United Kingdom, justified their support for Apartheid as a means of preserving global capitalism and countering the perceived threat of Soviet expansion in southern Africa. He argues that while Apartheid served as a tool to maintain racial hierarchy, it also aligned with the broader Cold War agenda, wherein the West was willing to overlook human rights abuses in favor of geopolitical stability.¹³

Economic sanctions became a crucial aspect of the global fight against Apartheid, though their effectiveness was often undermined by Cold War political considerations. Gary Clyde Hufbauer, Jeffrey J. Schott, and Kimberly Ann Elliott's *Economic Sanctions Reconsidered* provides an analysis of the international sanctions regime against South Africa. The authors argue that although economic sanctions were imposed in the 1980s, the Cold War priorities of maintaining strategic alliances with South Africa often undermined these efforts. The United States, in particular, was reluctant to impose comprehensive sanctions due to its desire to maintain South Africa as an ally in the fight against communism.¹⁴

David Welsh offers a different perspective, focusing on the role of global grassroots movements in pressuring governments to impose sanctions. In his work *The End of Apartheid in South Africa*, Welsh emphasizes the role of international boycotts, particularly in sports and cultural exchanges, in isolating the Apartheid regime. He highlights the importance of non-governmental organizations and the global Anti-

Apartheid movement in creating a public consensus that eventually led to stronger economic measures, even when official diplomatic channels were slow to act. Welsh's analysis shows that while state-imposed sanctions were often limited by Cold War politics, global grassroots activism played a vital role in dismantling Apartheid.¹⁵

The structural framework of Apartheid, which shaped the economic and political landscape of South Africa, was intricately tied to Cold War geopolitics. Apartheid, 1948-1994 offers a comprehensive analysis of the policies that sustained Apartheid, arguing that Apartheid was not merely a product of domestic racial tensions but also a result of global Cold War dynamics.¹⁶ Dubow emphasizes that apartheid policies were designed to maintain economic control over the black population and prevent any potential communist uprisings, which Western powers feared.¹⁷

Thomas Borstelmann's *Apartheid's Reluctant Uncle* examines the U.S. relationship with South Africa, detailing how Cold War geopolitics shaped American foreign policy. Borstelmann argues that while the U.S. government publicly condemned Apartheid, it simultaneously maintained close relations with the apartheid regime, prioritizing anti-communist interests over human rights concerns. This paradox is central to understanding the complexities of U.S. foreign policy during the Cold War. Borstelmann's work provides crucial insight into the way Cold War priorities shaped both the United States' and South Africa's strategies during this period.¹⁸

The Simonstown Agreement, which allowed the British navy to use South African ports, exemplifies the way Cold War strategic interests influenced relationships with the

Apartheid regime. Saunders and Onslow argue that this agreement allowed Western powers to maintain a strategic presence in southern Africa, securing military and trade routes crucial to the Cold War struggle. The agreement was a clear example of how Cold War politics often took precedence over the human rights violations committed by the Apartheid regime. This view is supported by Ram C. Malhotra's article "Apartheid and the United Nations,"¹⁹ where he discusses the limitations of international organizations like the United Nations in responding to Apartheid. Cold War politics frequently paralyzed the UN's ability to enforce sanctions against South Africa.²⁰

CHAPTER OUTLINE

This research is broken into five compartments containing the specific ideas to be exhaustively discussed, which captures the whole body of the study.

CHAPTER ONE: BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY

This chapter introduces the study by providing an overview of Apartheid in South Africa and the context within which it operated. It outlines the aims and objectives of the research, the methodology employed in collecting and analyzing data, and the scholarly literature that supports the study. It sets the stage for understanding the geopolitical influence of the Cold War on Apartheid.

CHAPTER TWO: A REVIEW OF SOUTH AFRICA APARTHEID POLICIES AND THE COLD WAR.

This chapter will discuss the Cold War as a global phenomenon and its influence on South Africa. Examining how Cold War politics shaped the apartheid regime,

focusing on the roles of the policies initiated during the apartheid regime, and its impact in creating a discriminatory society.

CHAPTER THREE: THE INTERNATIONAL RESPONSES TO APARTHEID

This chapter will analyze global reactions to Apartheid, focusing on sanctions, boycotts, and diplomatic efforts, and examining how Cold War ideologies shaped responses from the West and the East.

CHAPTER FOUR: THE LEGACIES OF APARTHEID AND POST-APARTHEID CHALLENGES

This chapter will examine the lasting impacts of Apartheid on South Africa's socio-economic and political landscape, including racial inequality, spatial segregation, and economic disparity. It will also evaluate the ANC government's efforts to address these challenges.

CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION

The final chapter will summarize the research findings, highlighting the links between Apartheid, Cold War politics, and international interventions, concluding with reflections on the study's broader implications and recommendations for future research.

Endnotes

1. E. Graham, 'The Great Simplifier: The Cold War and South Africa, 1948-1994,' in P. Alan Dobson (ed), *Deconstructing and reconstructing the Cold War*, (Aldershot: Ashgate, 1999), 136.
2. A. Mabin, *Urbanization and Colonial Control: The Case of South Africa*, (London: Routledge, 1992) 45.
3. J. Robinson, *The Power of Apartheid: State, Power and Space in South African Cities*, (Michigan: E and T Books, 1996), 652
4. Ram C. Malhotra, 'Apartheid and the United Nations', *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 354 (1964), 135.
5. F.S. Northedge and A. Wells, *Britain and Soviet Communism: the Impact of a Revolution*, (London: Macmillan, 1982), 121.
6. *Ibid.*, 127
7. O. Sue. *Cold War and Southern Africa*, (Abingdon: Routledge, 2010), 61
8. C. Saunders, "The Cold War and Southern Africa." In *The Cambridge History of the Cold War*, edited by S. Melvyn P. Leffler and O. A. Westad, (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010), 513
9. J. J. Byrne, *Africa's Cold War: The United States, the Soviet Union, and the Struggle for Southern Africa*, (New York: Oxford University Press, 2013), 77
10. R. J. McMahon, *The Cold War in the Third World*, (New York: Oxford University Press, 2013), 112
11. A. J. Christopher, "Apartheid Planning in South Africa: The Case of Port Elizabeth." *The Geographical Journal*, 1987, Vol. 6, No. 2, 195–202.
12. *Ibid.*, 195 - 202
13. J. Daniel, "Racism, the Cold War, and South Africa." In *Cold War in Southern Africa: White Power, Black Liberation*, edited by Sue Onslow, 35–53. Abingdon: Routledge, 2009.

14. G. C. Hufbauer, J. J. Schott, and K. A. Elliott, *Economic Sanctions Reconsidered: History and Current Policy*, (Washington, DC: Institute for International Economics, 1990), 119
15. D. Welsh, *The End of Apartheid in South Africa*.(Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009), 211
16. *Ibid.*, 211
17. S. Dubow, *Apartheid, 1948-1994*, (New York: Oxford University Press, 2014), 188
18. Borstelmann, Thomas. *Apartheid's Reluctant Uncle: The United States and South Africa, 1948-1994*, (New York: Oxford University Press, 1993), 99
19. Malhotra, Ram C. "Apartheid and the United Nations." *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 354 (1964): 135-150.
20. J. Peffer, *Art and the End of Apartheid*, (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2009), 171

CHAPTER TWO

THE COLD WAR AND SOUTH AFRICA'S GEOPOLITICAL CONTEXT

The Cold War, from 1945 to 1991, was a very ideologically confrontational period in which the Western capitalist bloc, led by the United States, faced the Eastern communist bloc, led by the Soviet Union. It was an era of unrelenting rivalry between the two superpowers, which were contesting influence in various regions. It was characterized by no direct military conflicts between the two superpowers but rather by proxy wars, economic competition, and ideological propaganda. South Africa was thrown into the forefront of this geopolitical rivalry for its strategic location at the southernmost end of Africa, with an impressive stock of natural resources including gold and uranium¹. The apartheid regime, that came into power in 1948, utilized the Cold War relations to its advantage, attracting Western support because it presented itself as a bulwark against communist expansion in southern Africa. This chapter will analyze how the Cold War influenced South Africa's geopolitics by considering the position and influence of the Western powers, the Eastern bloc, and the Non-Aligned Movement.

The Role of Western Powers

At the forefront of the capitalist West, the United States and the United Kingdom considered the regime a critical ally against Soviet influence over the continent. Upon this, the apartheid regime constructed an alliance of interests with anti-communist attitudes of the Western world². Despite international outcry regarding the human rights atrocities issued forth by such racial segregation within South Africa's internal policies,

South Africa's regime positioned its segregatory policies against the backdrop of a defense for civilization and democracy in the West. For the United States, the strategic importance of South Africa was underlined by its location adjacent to the main sea routes and its supply of key minerals, such as uranium, a crucial ingredient in nuclear weapons. In return, the United Kingdom had maintained strong economic and political links with South Africa³, through which it received not only raw materials but also the strategic interests of that country throughout the continent.

A vivid example of that support was the Simonstown Agreement of 1955, whereby British Royal Navy access to South African ports was exchanged for military cooperation⁴. It underlined the strategic role played by South Africa regarding Cold War geopolitics: it gave the West a naval presence in the southern hemisphere. The agreement further included the sale of arms and military training to the South African forces, which would be an added strength in the hands of the apartheid regime in Suppressing internal resistance and dominating the region.

The support of the Western powers for apartheid was not only ideological, but it was also based on economic interests. South Africa was a big trading partner for both the U.S. and the U.K⁵.; hypothetically, its economic stability was considered crucial to maintaining the dominance of capital in the region. The high returns from investments in mining and industries in South Africa had created an economic motive for the Western corporations to look the other way in the face of the oppressive policies of the regime. Further, the prospect of losing South Africa to communist influence also kept the West

away from agreeing to comprehensive sanctions and boycotts against the apartheid regime.

Instead, Western powers typically resorted to limited measures, such as symbolic condemnations, while continuing to engage in economic and military cooperation. This duality highlighted the West's prioritization of Cold War strategic objectives over the moral imperative to address apartheid's systemic racial injustices.

The Role of the Eastern Bloc

The Eastern Bloc, under the leadership of the Soviet Union, held a completely opposite view from that of the West with respect to the position on apartheid in South Africa. To the Soviet Union and its allies, this apartheid regime symbolized not only racial oppression but also a critical element of the very capitalist system they sought to destroy. The Eastern Bloc therefore became an important supporter of anti-apartheid movements with material, ideological, and diplomatic aid.

The Soviet Union framed its support for the South African liberation movements within the wider context of struggle against colonialism and imperialism, presenting itself as a champion of oppressed peoples worldwide. This ideological lean was to strike a chord in the liberation groups such as the African National Congress (ANC) and the Pan Africanist Congress (PAC), which inducted elements of socialist ideology into their respective programs. The Soviet Union sought to pose as an ally with the African nations in their fight for independence and freedom through its rhetoric and its actions. That alignment was at once a matter of principle, but it also had to do with strategy-an attempt

to expand Soviet influence in Africa in a region completely dominated by the West. The Soviet Union and other Eastern Bloc nations provided important material and logistical support to South African liberation movements⁶. This aid included:

Military Training and Arms: The ANC's military wing, Umkhonto we Sizwe (MK), received training in guerrilla warfare from experts in the Soviet Union and Cuban, while arms, ammunition, and equipment were supplied by the Soviet Union in support of the armed struggle being waged by the ANC.

Financial Aid: Financial aid given by the Eastern Bloc⁷ allowed the structures of the various liberation movements to exist and provided for their exiled leadership in various corners of Europe and elsewhere, plus some international representation.

Educational Support: Through the Soviet Union, there are scholarships and training programs that South African activists studied⁸ and learned several skills and ideas from which would, in turn, lead to the strengthening of their movements.

The Eastern Bloc actively supported all anti-apartheid resolutions within international forums such as the United Nations⁹. The Soviet diplomats underlined the violations of human rights by the apartheid regime, called for sanctions, and isolation from the world community. This included mobilizing votes in the General Assembly and lobbying for arms embargoes and trade restrictions. The Eastern Bloc gave a platform for South African liberation leaders to air their grievances on the world stage¹⁰. This international advocacy helped raise the anti-apartheid struggle and offset the Western efforts of shielding South Africa from criticism.

Non-Aligned Movement and Global South

The Non-Aligned Movement, through a coalition of states that sought to never align themselves either with the Western or Eastern blocs within the Cold War era, played an important role in opposing apartheid. NAM members, particularly in Africa and Asia, saw through apartheid as remaining colonialism and denial of basic human rights. Apartheid was regularly condemned at the NAM summits¹¹; members called for economic sanctions against South Africa and its diplomatic isolation. This position of NAM represented its more general commitment to decolonization and self-determination across the Global South.

Contributions of African Nations

The African countries, particularly those in southern Africa, played a very important role in the fight against apartheid¹², giving all liberation movements much-needed support. Countries like Zambia, Tanzania, and Mozambique provided a safe haven for the leaders of the ANC and the PAC to operate from exile bases¹³. Such sanctuaries allowed these movements to organize their activities and keep that crucial contact with their supporters. In addition, the African states used every available diplomatic platform, such as the Organization of African Unity (OAU)¹³, to demand international sanctions, among other measures, against the apartheid regime. Poor as they were, the nations also made important economic sacrifices, which included boycotting and closing trade routes with South Africa, a course of action that usually entailed heavy financial losses. The

combined effect of all these efforts underlined a strong commitment to the dismantling of apartheid, even at great economic and political cost¹⁴.

Challenges Faced by Non-Aligned States

Despite their solidarity, the non-aligned states faced extreme difficulties in their anti-apartheid efforts that often undermined their effectiveness. Many African nations depended economically on trade with Western countries that were more or less reluctant to impose sanctions on South Africa—a fact that minimized the effect of NAM's measures¹⁵. Besides, the Cold War pressures placed the non-aligned in a very precarious position because their respective positions were sandwiched between crossroads of interests that set the United States against the Soviet Union and thus rendered it hard for the cohesion in the movement to remain intact. The regime also destabilized the apartheid through financing insurgencies and even militarily raiding countries sharing its borders and providing succor for these liberation movements. Notwithstanding, the NAM and African countries also made invaluable inputs to the worldwide struggle against apartheid, underlining above everything else the moral and political imperatives in taking down racial oppression, even while faced with gray areas from the Cold War and sacrifice of economic interests.

Endnotes

1. Dogah, Denis. "From the Organization of African Unity (OAU) to the African Union (AU): Regionalism, international organization and institutional change in Africa." (2022).
2. Kondlo, Kwandiwe. "'Diplomacy of national liberation'-the exiled PAC and the international community, 1962-1990." *Journal of African Foreign Affairs* 6, no. 1 (2019): 11-28.
3. Saunders, Chris. "The Non-Aligned Movement, Namibia and South Africa over Sixty Years." *The 60th Anniversary of the Non-Aligned Movement, Belgrade, Institute of International Politics and Economics* (2021): 333-344.
4. Abrahamsen, Rita, and Paul Williams. "Britain and Southern Africa: A 'Third Way' or Business as Usual?." In *Globalization and Emerging Trends in African States' Foreign Policy-Making Process*, pp. 307-328. Routledge, 2020.
5. Berridge, Geoff. "The Simonstown Negotiations In: Economic Power in Anglo-South African Diplomacy. Palgrave Macmillan, London." *Palgrave Macmillan UK EBooks*, January 1, 1981, 69–109. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-04672-0_4.
6. Dubow, Saul. "New Approaches to High Apartheid and Anti-Apartheid." *South African Historical Journal* 69, no. 2 (April 3, 2017): 304–29. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02582473.2017.1330896>
7. Ibid.,
8. Filatova, Irina. "The Lasting Legacy: The Soviet Theory of the National-Democratic Revolution and South Africa." *South African Historical Journal* 64, no. 3 (September 2012): 507–37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02582473.2012.665077>.
9. Hartley, Ray, Greg Mills, and Mills Soko. *The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly: Scenarios for South Africa's Uncertain Future*. Pan Macmillan South africa, 2023.
10. Katsakioris, Constantin. "Return from the USSR: Soviet-Educated Africans, Politics and Work, 1960s–2000s." *Canadian Journal of African Studies / Revue Canadienne Des Études Africaines* 55, no. 2 (February 12, 2021): 267–86. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00083968.2020.18410>

11. Konieczna, Anna. "‘We the People of the United Nations’: The UN and the Global Campaigns Against Apartheid." *A Global History of Anti-Apartheid: 'Forward to Freedom' in South Africa* (2019): 67-103.

12. MacLeod, Jamie, David Luke, and Jonathan Bashi Rudahindwa. "Africa’s Trade Arrangements with The United States, the United Kingdom, and Other Prominent Partners." (2023): 77-116.

13. Yusuf, Nasir Abba. "The role of the international community towards dismantling the apartheid regime in South Africa: 1960-1990." PhD diss., University of Zululand, 2018.

14. Ibid.,

15. Ibid.,

CHAPTER THREE

INTERNATIONAL RESPONSES TO APARTHEID

Apartheid in South Africa as an international issue was essentially the major cause of the Cold War. Although it indirectly stood condemned on account of its system of racial oppression, the world's reactions to Apartheid policies were in their own irregular ways aligned with the Cold War between the capitalist West and the communist East. In particular, this strategic relevance in the War led the Western powers often to reject the comprehensive sanctions on South Africa, and grassroots movements or civil society were key players to activate opposition to Apartheid. The aim of the present chapter is to account for the responses against Apartheid; there were multiple such actions undertaken by the West and limitations on their behalf regarding the alliances made during the Cold War.

Western Responses to Apartheid

Economic Sanctions

Economic sanctions became a key tool for the international community to pressure South Africa to dismantle Apartheid, but their effectiveness was undermined by the strategic and economic interests of Western nations. Most notably in the case of the United States and the United Kingdom¹, such resistance against comprehensive sanctions had much more to do with anti-communist alliances than with any question of concern about the violation of human rights resulting from Apartheid. Both governments saw any weakening of the Apartheid regime as a synonym for allowing a communist-aligned

government to assume power in South Africa. In its place, Western governments have more often resorted to limited symbolic gestures, such as banning arms sales or restricting official diplomatic engagements², while still allowing trade and investment to continue. Any genuine attempt to press economic pressure on the Apartheid regime was further complicated by the existence of Western multinational corporations that had invested much in the mining, manufacturing, and financial sectors of South Africa. This reluctance reflected a larger preoccupation with Cold War geopolitics at the expense of the moral duty to end Apartheid.

Diplomatic Hesitancy

Due to Cold War interests, Western countries seldom took hard-line diplomatic attitudes toward Apartheid . At the United Nations, the United States and its allies often voted to veto or abstained in many resolutions that aimed to apply overall sanctions or punitive measures against South Africa³. Such diplomatic paralysis was reflective of the understanding not to undermine important strategic alliances with the Apartheid regime, which they regarded as an indispensable ally in the fight against communism. Indeed, although the Western leaders from time to time denounced Apartheid in fine Sunday sermons, such rhetoric was rarely paralleled with serious policy action. Thus, for instance, the policy of "constructive engagement" pursued by U.S. President Ronald Reagan implied pressing for gradual reforms through negotiation rather than direct pressure⁴. However, it had its wide public of critics as an ineffective and even impotent strategy against Apartheid injustice.

While Western governments dithered, grassroots movements and civil society groups in the West were very much in the forefront of the anti-Apartheid struggle. Activists organized constant boycotts of South African goods, and pressures from below compelled institutions such as universities and pension funds to divest from companies operating in South Africa. These efforts greatly heightened awareness of Apartheid's economic prop and put added financial pressure on the regime. Large protests and advocacy campaigns, such as the mass demonstrations of the Anti-Apartheid Movement in the UK and the Free South Africa Movement in the US, shed light on Apartheid atrocities and put pressure on Western governments to get tough⁵. Second, cultural and sporting boycotts were promoted by Western activists in an effort to internationally isolate South Africa. The result was the exclusion of South African athletes from international competition⁶ and the wide-scale boycotts of South African artists and performers further de-legitimizing the Apartheid regime on the world stage.

Eastern Bloc Responses to Apartheid

In this aspect of the international scenario, under the guidance and lead of the Soviet Union, the Eastern Bloc was visibly opposed to Apartheid through their active work through the United Nations⁷. Every time there seemed to be the opportunity to be made by anything, the struggle against Apartheid has taken concrete shape before anyone in all literal interpretations of that as opposition of imperialism and colonialism of people against Apartheid, which must be isolated from the face of this world. Therefore, resolutions criticizing Apartheid, including demands for a full set of sanctions and an

arms embargo against South Africa, were usually proposed and supported by Soviet-aligned states ⁸. The Eastern Bloc likewise actively mobilized world opinion through structures such as the Non-Aligned Movement and the Afro-Asian bloc in support of anti-Apartheid motions in the General Assembly. These often aimed at overcoming Western obstruction in the Security Council to ensure that Apartheid would be a salient issue in international diplomacy.

The Eastern Bloc provided substantial material and military support to the South African liberation movements, especially the African National Congress, along with its armed wing, Umkhonto we Sizwe (MK). The Soviet Union supplied arms, ammunition, and guerrilla training to ANC fighters, equipping them to wage an armed struggle against the Apartheid regime. Other countries, such as East Germany, Cuba, and Czechoslovakia, supported the logistical needs through the facilitation of communication equipment, in addition to providing financial assistance toward the maintenance of such anti-Apartheid operations. Apart from the military aid, the most meaningful role undertaken by the Eastern Bloc was support in education and political training provided on South African hosting ⁹. The leadership training and advocates' scholarships greatly helped in empowerment, adding to the liberation movements' capacities to rise effectively against Apartheid .

Apartheid was projected by the Eastern Bloc as a tool of capitalist oppression¹⁰; thus, it placed the fight against racial segregation firmly within the ideological struggle against capitalism. In these countries, state-controlled media played a central role in

exposing Apartheid brutality by broadcasting stories of oppression and resistance to the world. While some Apartheid injustices came to the surface, media made sure to turn up the volumes loud on South Africa's liberation movements. What is more, the anti-Apartheid struggle was framed in the Eastern Bloc in the following manner-as a resistance movement against the so-called "West-backed" forms of capitalist oppression-seeking to defame Western states' moral order. It thus legitimized political support as much as material sponsorship by the East European socialist regime for these freedom struggles.

Role of International Organizations

United Nations Organization Response and the Influence of Veto Powers

The United Nations Organization provided a necessary forum for international opposition to Apartheid, although most of its initiatives were substantially weakened by Cold War geopolitics. The UN General Assembly adopted a number of resolutions denouncing Apartheid and called for sanctions¹¹, including an arms embargo, cultural boycotts, and trade restrictions; most of these moves were not binding because of resistance in the Security Council. The United States, the United Kingdom, and France, among other Western powers, often defeated binding resolutions against South Africa with their veto power, choosing Cold War strategic alliances and economic interests over the international drive to dismantle Apartheid .

Organization of African Unity (OAU)

It is the Organization of African Unity that seriously marshaled African countries in opposition to Apartheid through solidarity and coordinated action. The OAU offered an important venue for the South African liberation movements and gave financial and logistic support to organizations like the ANC and the Pan Africanist Congress. The member states collectively worked to isolate South Africa through the severing of trade links and the denial of African markets to the Apartheid regime. The OAU did not, however, go without its challenges. Often, the effectiveness of this organization was compromised by a lack of resources and divisions among member states, while South Africa responded with cross-border military strikes and destabilizing actions, further complicating the region's fight against Apartheid . This fact notwithstanding, the commitment by the OAU to support the liberation movements became a factor in regional unity in the common fight against racial oppression.

The Commonwealth of Nations

The Commonwealth of Nations, being a political association of former British colonies, played a big part concerning the opposing of Apartheid , although changed tack with time¹². At its inception, some members of the Commonwealth, in particular the United Kingdom, were very reluctant to take any extreme measures against Apartheid due to the economic ties and strategic interests with South Africa. In the 1980s, however, a steadily increasing grassroots push supported by the leaders of countries such as India, Nigeria, and Canada obliged the Commonwealth to toughen its stance toward imposing coordinated sanctions and cultural boycotts against the government of South Africa.

Landmark activities such as the 1986 EPG report and its resulting Gleneagles Agreement¹³ were important in ratcheting up the diplomatic and economic pressure on the Apartheid regime and further isolating South Africa internationally.

Role of the Non-Governmental Actors

Non-governmental actors were also at the forefront of mobilizing international opposition to Apartheid. Grassroots advocacy and transnational networks, reaching around the world, gave dramatic amplification to the voices of South Africa's disfranchised majority.

Organizations like the Advanced Air Mobility in the UK and the Federal Segment Architecture Methodology in the US used protests, lobbying, and awareness campaigns to galvanize public support¹⁴. These movements also worked together with South African liberation organizations like the ANC in underlining the realities of Apartheid and building international solidarity. Grassroots organizations and NGOs organized impactful campaigns targeting South Africa's economic, cultural, and social spheres.

Economic Boycotts: Forcing businesses and governments to divest from investment in South Africa was costly to the Apartheid regime. The most visible campaigns were targeted at banks, corporations, and investment funds that had dealings in South Africa.

Cultural Sanctions: Artists, musicians, and writers joined the boycotts by refusing to perform or publish in South Africa—a cultural isolation of the regime. International events, such as the 1985 Artists United Against Apartheid concert, drew world-wide attention.

Sports-Related Activism: Sporting boycotts, in particular, served to divest Apartheid of legitimacy. The ban from the Olympics, FIFA, and other major competitions was an effective way of depicting its outcast status on the world stage. International bans on rugby and cricket-the two most popular sports in South Africa-were symbolic sporting blows against the regime¹⁵.

Media Coverage and Public Opinion

The media served as a very powerful tool in shaping public opinion against Apartheid .

Investigative Journalism: International media exposed the harsh reality of Apartheid , ranging from the Sharpeville massacre to forced relocations. In Western democracies, the stories stoked uproar and calls for action.

Documentaries and Films: Productions such as Cry Freedom and The End of Apartheid humanized the struggle, educating audiences about the systemic oppression faced by Black South Africans.

Together with grassroots movement, the media's constant reporting created an eruption of popular backing for enforcing sanctions and other severe measures against the South African government. This consequently forced governments to reconsider their approach in dealing with the Apartheid state.

Endnotes

1. MacLeod, Jamie, David Luke, and Jonathan Bashi Rudahindwa. *Africa's Trade Arrangements with The United States, the United Kingdom, and Other Prominent Partners*. (London: LSE Press, 2023) pp. 77-116.
2. Spindel, Jennifer. "Beyond military power: the symbolic politics of conventional weapons transfers." PhD diss., University of Minnesota, 2018.
3. Klotz, Audie. "Norms reconstituting interests: global racial equality and US sanctions against South Africa." *International organization* 49, no. 3 (1995): 451-478.
4. Hipp, Shandr  D. *Constructive engagement: Ronald Reagan's problematic policy of appeasement with South Africa*. Georgetown University, 2012.
5. *Ibid.*, 103.
6. Merrett, Christopher. "From the outside lane: issues of 'race' in South African athletics in the twentieth century." *Patterns of Prejudice* 38, no. 3 (2004): 233-251.
7. Gehrig, Sebastian, James Mark, Paul Betts, Kim Christiaens, and Idesbald Goddeeris. "The Eastern Bloc, Human Rights, and the Global Fight against Apartheid ." *East Central Europe* 46, no. 2-3 (2019): 290-317.
8. *Ibid.*, 141
9. Popescu, Monica. "On the Margins of the Black Atlantic: Angola, the Eastern Bloc, and the Cold War." *Research in African Literatures* 45, no. 3 (2014): 91-109.
10. *Ibid.*, 141
11. Stultz, Newell M. "The Apartheid issue at the General Assembly: stalemate or gathering storm?." *African Affairs* 86, no. 342 (1987): 25-45.
12. Aldrich, Harriet. *Racial Legacies: South African Apartheid and the Old Commonwealth*. *Commonwealth History in the Twenty-First Century* (2020): 229-249.
13. Simpson, Thula. "The Commonwealth and Apartheid." *Commonwealth History in the Twenty-First Century* (2020): 207-227.

14. Minter, William, and Sylvia Hill. "Anti-Apartheid solidarity in United States-South Africa Relations: From the margins to the mainstream." *The Road to Democracy in South Africa* 3 (2008): 745-822.

15. Black, David R. "'Not Cricket': The Effects and Effectiveness of the Sport Boycott." In *How Sanctions Work: Lessons from South Africa*,. (London: Palgrave Macmillan,1999), pp. 213-231

CHAPTER FOUR

THE LEGACIES OF APARTHEID AND POST-APARTHEID CHALLENGES

Apartheid political and social frameworks inscribed deep wounds in South African society, and its impact extended long past its abolition in 1994. Policies under apartheid, such as the Population Registration Act and the Group Areas Act, legislated racial segregation¹, barring Black citizens access to housing, jobs, and education and relegating them to a subordinate role in society, with political and economic privileges for whites only.

The establishment of a democratic state represented a triumph for South Africans, with Nelson Mandela's presidency representing hope for a future with a leveler of opportunity and a fairer society. Yet, the inheritances of apartheid, together with the financial strains of globalization and Cold War termination, produced long-lasting impediments to leveling out society. Apartheid increased profound economic inequalities, and a society with inequality in wealth and poverty prevails in post-apartheid South Africa.

Poverty and Unemployment: Historically disenfranchised Black South African citizens, locked out of wealth creation and skilled work, disproportionately suffer with unemployment and poverty. As recently attested, over a majority of South African citizens languish below the level of poverty, with Black citizens' unemployment statistics many times larger in proportion to white citizens' unemployment statistics².

Land Ownership: Policies under the era of apartheid, such as in the 1913 Land Act, disallowed Black South African citizens to have property in most areas³. Despite post-apartheid land reform programs, most agricultural and urban property is in white hands, and gaps in economy widen.

Wealth Disparities: Wealth is concentrated in white hands, with no chance for financial opportunity for most of the population. Institutional barriers, including disparate access to financial and marketplace opportunity, have hindered closing these gaps.

Racial Inequalities

The legacy of racial segregation under apartheid continues to manifest in South African educational, housing, and labour sectors.

Education: South African schools for Black South Africans were underfunded deliberately under the South African apartheid state, creating long-standing achievement gaps in school. Government reform failed to make access to school any less unequal, with Black students in most cases being educated in underfunded schools.

Housing: Black South Africans during apartheid inhabited urban periphery and shacks in urban fringes of urban settlements through forced residential planning. There was an improvement in post-apartheid housing programs, but many citizens lived in poor housing with limited access to basic infrastructure and amenities.

Employment: Black South Africans during apartheid times were restricted out of high-value and skilled occupations through reservation in occupations. Even with employment

equity policies in an attempt to reverse such imbalances, racial wage and opportunity gaps in jobs have persisted, with Black workers in predominantly low-value jobs.

Spatial Segregation and Its Lasting Effects on Urban Planning

Apartheid's urban and rural planning policies racially segmented urban and rural areas, producing urban forms that have continued to shape South Africa's social and economic environment. Townships were planned with a deliberate intention to exclude Black communities in urban areas, depriving them of access to goods and employment. All these trends run deep, with most townships having poor infrastructure and living standards. Besides creating urban sprawls, the ideology of segregation of the apartheid state saw Black workers commuting long distances to work, exacerbating economic hardships even further. In post-apartheid South Africa, city integration and urban development have been hindered by a lack of funding, bureaucratism⁴, and reluctance in wealthy communities, including many in white and Asian communities, to integrate with Black communities, particularly in poor, high density living environments. All these have hindered South African efforts in eradicating urban and rural planning inequalities, with many Black South Africans residing in poorly serviced communities.

Political Legacies

Transition to ANC-Led Government and Challenges of Governance

The move towards an ANC government in 1994 was a defining political moment in South African life, with a presidency under Nelson Mandela marking a new era of

democratization. Despite this, the new government grappled with grappling with the legacies of apartheid. In 1996, a new constitution assured South Africa's position as a non-racial, democratic state, and affirmative action and Black Economic Empowerment (BEE) policies were embraced in a move to promote equality⁵. Despite these, the ANC inherited an administration whose structures and institutions were inbuilt during an era of apartheid, and therefore, reforming key sectors such as administration, judiciary, and police became a challenge. Inefficiencies in the system and corruption persisted, and together with these, delayed efforts towards creating an effective and responsible government. In its political rise, factionalism and governance faults posed a challenge, and at times, its effectiveness in grappling with social and economic inequalities and in rolling out transformational policies was compromised.

Role of Cold War Alliances in Shaping Post-Apartheid Political Structures

The Cold War alliances played a significant role in shaping South African post-apartheid political structures and diplomacy⁶. African National Congress (ANC) maintained strong ties with its Soviet Bloc counterparts, who sponsored its freedom cause, and these ties shaped South African diplomacy. Thus, South Africa often took a stand with developing nations and espoused multipolar international governance. In economy, Western nations and international financial institutions compelled South Africa to follow neoliberalism, and thus, GEAR (the Growth, Employment, and Redistribution strategy) emerged. It was seen as a sacrifice to capitalist global demands and, at times, clashed with ANC's early socialist ideals. In such an economic and geo-political complexity,

South Africa emerged a key player in African region politics, utilizing its geo-strategic position to act as a mediator in conflicts and a voice for development and balancing its traditional alliances with new global alliances.

Post-Apartheid Challenges

Reconciliation and Nation-Building Efforts: Successes and Failures

The Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC), mandated in 1995 was a pillar of South African nation-building, one whose purpose was to face down abuses under apartheid⁷. Perhaps its most successful achievement was in providing for public acknowledgement of atrocity under apartheid, providing a stage for both victim and offender to speak about experiences, and in instating a national environment of accountability and healing through such confessions. All the same, the TRC received a lot of criticism, too. Others have countered that its prioritization of reconciliation over retribution deprived many victims of reparation and a real sense of resolution. On top of that, systemic abuses, such as land reform and economic reparation, received little consideration, and post-apartheid South African structures continued to reproduce inequality.

The post-apartheid era experienced an era of rapid globalization, both opportunity and challenge for South Africa⁸. South Africa re-entered international platforms such as the United Nations and the Commonwealth, re-accessing international markets and attracting foreign investment. Nevertheless, soon, its economy experienced its first challenge with increased competition in international markets and with its embracing of

neoliberalism, with jobs in traditional industries being lost and with its lowered capacity for its government to make interventions in a redistributive manner. South Africa's over-dependency in exporting its raw materials⁹, specifically, then in an increasingly competitive and uncertain international environment positioned its economy at high vulnerability in terms of its performance in international trade, with its re-entry into international competition not benefiting its general population but widening its domestic inequalities and constraining its general population's access to its fruits.

Ongoing Racial and Economic Inequalities

Though with standing significant improvement, South Africa continues to face long-standing legacies of apartheid. Black South African communities disproportionately face racial inequality¹⁰, with long-standing racial gaps in access to high-quality education and medical care, and high unemployment and poverty levels. Economic inequality is a long-term problem, with South Africa having one of the most extreme inequality in incomes in the world, with its Gini coefficient signifying extreme racial wealth inequality¹¹. Socio-economic inequality underlies persistent social tension, with unmet aspirations and frustration at glacial reform pace driving recurring protests regarding service delivery, housing availability, and reform of land holding. That such ills have persisted for such a long duration reflects both long-term ramifications of apartheid and a persistent imperative for continued work in overcoming South African society's long-standing inequality.

Endnotes

1. J. Pepper, *Art and the End of Apartheid*, Midtown Scholar Bookstore, Harrisburg, PA, U.S.A Univ Of Minnesota, 2009, p. 58
2. T. Borstelmann, *Apartheid's Influence on the World Order* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1993), p. 236
3. T. Stapleton, *A History of Postcolonial South Africa* (University of Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012), p. 122
4. H. M. Feinberg, The 1913 Natives Land Act in South Africa: Politics, Race, and Segregation, *International Journal of African Historical Studies* (1993), Page 100
5. *Ibid.*, 141
6. James Duminy and Susan M. Parnell, "The Shifting Interface of Public Health and Urban Policy in South Africa," *Journal of Planning History* 21, no. 1 (November 3, 2021): 86–102, <https://doi.org/10.1177/15385132211047542>
7. *Ibid.*,
8. J. J. Byrne, 'Africa's Cold War', in Robert J. McMahon (ed), *The Cold War in the Third World* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013) p. 105
9. *Ibid.*, 103.
10. M. Popescu, "On the Margins of the Black Atlantic: Angola, the Eastern Bloc, and the Cold War." *Research in African Literatures* 45, no. 3 (2014): 91-109.
11. Stultz, Newell M. "The Apartheid issue at the General Assembly: stalemate or gathering storm?." *African Affairs* 86, no. 342 (1987): 25-45.

CHAPTER FIVE

CONCLUSION

This research took into consideration at length the important role Cold War politics played in anti-apartheid work in South Africa. In its examination, the work not only identifies that apartheid was a national racial suppression but that it closely involved international geopolitics. The Cold War generated a bipolar atmosphere in which superstates exploited South Africa for use as a proxy for extending their ideological aims. Western powers, with America and Britain in the lead, prioritized anti-communist alliances over confronting apartheid's violations of human rights, economically and militarily backing the state of apartheid.

On the other hand, the Soviet-led Soviet Bloc aided freedom movements, materially and diplomatically supporting the ANC and such groups. The global reaction to apartheid, in terms of sanctions, boycotts, and grassroots mobilization, was conditioned by such an ideological divide. Cold War concerns regularly compromised thorough actions, but persistent international pressure and domestic opposition eventually discredited and disempowered apartheid. The intersection between worldwide politics and South African domestic politics attests to the difficulty in confronting systemic wrong in a bipolarized world.

The findings here reiterate the imperative for a consideration of Cold War politics in terms of shaping international human rights policies. Western nations' reluctance to apply strong sanctions in South Africa works to illustrate how moral imperative can

become secondary to concerns of a pragmatic sort⁴. Identifying in part, the contribution of non-state and grassroots groups in creating and enhancing human rights, and highlights the contribution of civil society in contesting failures in institutions. That contribution continues to resonate in current international politics, in which alliances and ideological confrontations inform reaction to systemic abuses.

For modern alliances in a new age, such a study is a reminder of having to prioritize justice and fairness over geo-political narrow concerns. It calls for a reorientation of international policies towards harmonising with values of human rights.

This study opens doors for future research in a range of avenues:

1. Examining how South African Cold War alliances have shaped its post-apartheid era foreign policy
2. Investigating similar Cold War-era confrontations in countries in Latin America and in Southeast Asia in an effort to try and understand similar trends and individual dynamics
3. Analyzing the long-term consequences of Cold War-era sanctions and South African development and its post-apartheid challenge-solving capacity
4. Assessing the role played by international reporting in shaping anti-apartheid campaigning and its overall contribution towards social justice cause-related campaigning

The Cold War impact on anti-apartheid struggle reveals the complexity of securing justice in a bipolar world fractured by competing ideologies. As significant as

international pressure for an end to apartheid won out, Cold War accommodations generated enduring political and socio-economic barriers for South Africa⁵. In conclusion, in its analysis, this thesis reveals the grand lesson of Cold War politics for justice and fairness, a lesson that even in a bipolar world, challenging systemic injustice must include a shared will to prioritize human rights over ideological and strategic factors. It is a tribute to anti-apartheid strugglers' determination and a lasting challenge to face long-term repercussions of past wrongs in working towards a fairer world.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Secondary Sources

Books

- Borstelmann, T. *Apartheid's Reluctant Uncle: The United States and South Africa, 1948-1994*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1993.
- Byrne, J. J. *Africa's Cold War: The United States, the Soviet Union, and the Struggle for Southern Africa*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2013.
- Dubow, S. *Apartheid, 1948-1994*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2014.
- Evans, Gr. "The Great Simplifier: The Cold War and South Africa, 1948-1994." In *Deconstructing and Reconstructing the Cold War*, edited by Alan P. Dobson. Aldershot: Ashgate, 1999.
- Hartley, R., Greg, M., and Mills, S. *The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly: Scenarios for South Africa's Uncertain Future*. Pan Macmillan South Africa, 2023.
- Hufbauer, G. C., Jeffrey J. S., and Kimberly A. E. *Economic Sanctions Reconsidered: History and Current Policy*. Washington, DC: Institute for International Economics, 1990.
- Malhotra, R. C. Apartheid and the United Nations. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 354 (1964): 135-150.
- McMahon, R. J. *The Cold War in the Third World*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2013.
- Onslow, S. *Cold War and Southern Africa*. Abingdon: Routledge, 2010.
- Peffer, J. *Art and the End of Apartheid*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2009
- Welsh, D. *The End of Apartheid in South Africa*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

Articles in Learned Journals

- Abrahamsen, R., and Paul, W. "Britain and Southern Africa: A 'Third Way' or Business as Usual?" In *Globalization and Emerging Trends in African States' Foreign Policy-Making Process*, pp. 307-328. Routledge, 2020.
- Aldrich, H.. "Racial Legacies: South African Apartheid and the Old Commonwealth." *Commonwealth History in the Twenty-First Century* (2020): 229-249.
- Christopher, A.J. "Apartheid Planning in South Africa: The Case of Port Elizabeth." *The Geographical Journal* 153, no. 2 (July 1987): 195–202.
- Daniel, J. "Racism, the Cold War, and South Africa." In *Cold War in Southern Africa: White Power, Black Liberation*, edited by Sue Onslow, 35–53. Abingdon: Routledge, 2009.
- Dubow, S. "New Approaches to High Apartheid and Anti-Apartheid." *South African Historical Journal* 69, no. 2 (April 3, 2017): 304–29.
- Filatova, I. "The Lasting Legacy: The Soviet Theory of the National-Democratic Revolution and South Africa." *South African Historical Journal* 64, no. 3 (September 2012): 507–37.
- Gehrig, S., James M., Paul, B., Kim C., and Idesbald, G. "The Eastern Bloc, Human Rights, and the Global Fight against Apartheid." *East Central Europe* 46, no. 2-3 (2019): 290-317.
- Klotz, A. "Norms reconstituting interests: global racial equality and US sanctions against South Africa." *International Organization* 49, no. 3 (1995): 451-478.
- Konieczna, A. "'We the People of the United Nations': The UN and the Global Campaigns Against Apartheid." *A Global History of Anti-Apartheid: 'Forward to Freedom' in South Africa* (2019): 67-103.
- MacLeod, J., David L., and Jonathan B. R. "Africa's Trade Arrangements with The United States, the United Kingdom, and Other Prominent Partners." (2023): 77-116.

Saunders, C. "The Cold War and Southern Africa." In *The Cambridge History of the Cold War*, edited by Melvyn P. Leffler and Odd Arne Westad, 513–534. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.

Simpson, T. "The Commonwealth and Apartheid." *Commonwealth History in the Twenty-First Century* (2020): 207-227.

Stultz, N. M. "The Apartheid Issue at the General Assembly: Stalemate or Gathering Storm?" *African Affairs* 86, no. 342 (1987): 25-45.

Newspapers & Online Documents

Berridge, G. "The Simonstown Negotiations In: Economic Power in Anglo-South African Diplomacy." Palgrave Macmillan UK EBooks, 1981, 69–109.

Documentaries & Reports

Filatova, I. "The Lasting Legacy: The Soviet Theory of the National-Democratic Revolution and South Africa." *South African Historical Journal* 64, no. 3 (2012): 507–37.

Kondlo, K. "'Diplomacy of National Liberation'-The Exiled PAC and the International Community, 1962-1990." *Journal of African Foreign Affairs* 6, no. 1 (2019): 11-28.

Minter, R., and Hill, S. "Anti-Apartheid Solidarity in United States-South Africa Relations: From the Margins to the Mainstream." *The Road to Democracy in South Africa* 3 (2008): 745-822.

Stultz, N. M. "The Apartheid Issue at the General Assembly: Stalemate or Gathering Storm?" *African Affairs* 86, no. 342 (1987): 25-45.

Yusuf, N. A. "The Role of the International Community Towards Dismantling the Apartheid Regime in South Africa: 1960-1990." PhD diss., University of Zululand, 2018.