

**CREDIT RISK MANAGEMENT AND DEPOSIT MONEY BANK PERFORMANCE IN
NIGERIA**

BY

Elizabeth Oghenemaro UWOJEYAH

MGS1908020

DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND FINANCE

FACULTY OF MANAGEMENT SCIENCES

UNIVERSITY OF BENIN

BENIN CITY.

JANUARY, 2024.

**CREDIT RISK MANAGEMENT AND DEPOSIT MONEY BANK PERFORMANCE IN
NIGERIA**

BY

Elizabeth Oghenemaro UWOJEYAH

MGS1908020

**A RESEARCH WORK SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND
FINANCE, FACULTY OF MANAGEMENT SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF BENIN,
BENIN CITY**

**IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE
DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE (B. Sc) DEGREE IN BANKING AND
FINANCE**

JANUARY, 2024.

DECLARATION

I, Elizabeth Oghenemaro UWOJEYAH, declare that this research project is my original work, and all sources used have been duly cited and referenced. Any assistance received during the project is acknowledged appropriately. This work has not been submitted for any other degree or qualifications.

Elizabeth Oghenemaro UWOJEYAH

Date

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the research project titled "Credit Risk Management And Deposit Money Bank Performance In Nigeria" carried out by Elizabeth Oghenemaro UWOJEYAH under the guidance and supervision of DR. Michael Chijuka and is hereby approved in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the award of Bachelor of Science (B.Sc) degree in Banking and Finance, University of Benin, Benin City.

DR. Michael Chijuka
(Project Supervisor)

Date: _____

Dr. J. Obayagbona
(Project Co-ordinator)

Date: _____

Dr. O.G. Omorokunwa
(Head of Department)

Date: _____

DEDICATION

This project is dedicated to God Almighty, the one who endowed men with uncommon wisdom, knowledge and understanding and being the best father I could have asked for. And also to my mother and guardian whose unwavering support and encouragement have been a constant source of inspiration throughout this journey.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I am grateful to God almighty for his faithfulness and grace towards me and also for his divine wisdom and guidance during the course of this research project.

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my project supervisor Dr. Michael Chijuka, whose expertise, guidance, unwavering support and insightful feedback played a crucial role in the successful completion of this research project. To my Head of Department, Dr. O.G Omorokunwa for his dedicated leadership and also to my course advisor Dr. E. Isibor for his guidance during my academic journey.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to My parent, Mrs. Uwojeyah for her unwavering love and for being my driving force. Your sacrifices and encouragement have shaped me into the person I am today. And to my siblings for their love and motivation.

Lastly, I want to extend my appreciation to my roommates for their love, patience and understanding and to my friends for their invaluable discussions and moral support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Title page - - - - -	i
Declaration - - - - -	ii
Certification - - - - -	iii
Dedication - - - - -	iv
Acknowledgements - - - - -	v
Table of Contents - - - - -	vi
Abstract - - - - -	viii
 CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background to the Study - - - - -	1
1.2 Statement of the Research Problem - - - - -	3
1.3 Research Questions - - - - -	4
1.4 Objectives of the Study - - - - -	5
1.5 Hypotheses of the Study - - - - -	5
1.6 Significance of the Study -- - - - -	6
1.7 Scope of Study - - - - -	7
1.8 Limitations of the Study - - - - -	8
 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Conceptual Literature - - - - -	9
2.1.1 Credit Risk - - - - -	9
2.1.2 Non-Performing Loans to Total Loans - - - - -	10
2.1.3. Loan Loss Provision to Total Loans - - - - -	12
2.1.4 Financial Performance - - - - -	13
2.1.5 The Liquidity ratio - - - - -	14
2.1.6 Asset risk ratio - - - - -	14

2.2 Theoretical Literature	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
2.2.1. The Anticipated Income Theory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
2.2.2 Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
2.2.3 Theory of Agency	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
2.3 Empirical Literature	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY										
3.1 Research Design	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
3.2 Population and Sampling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
3.3 Data Collection	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
3.4 Model Specification	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
CHAPTER FOUR: DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS										
4.1 Introduction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26
4.2 Descriptive Statistics	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26
4.3 Unit Root Test Results	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27
4.4 Unit Root Test Results after First Difference	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29
4.5 Cointegration Test Results	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30
4.6 ECM Results	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31
4.7 Discussion of findings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33
CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS										
5.1 Summary	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36
5.2 Conclusion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37
5.3 Recommendations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37
5.4 Future Research Implications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38
REFERENCES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
APPENDIX	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43

ABSTRACT

This study examines the complex relationship between credit risk management strategies and the financial performance of Deposit Money Banks (DMBs) in Nigeria. The study analyzes the impact of important variables, including Return on Equity (ROE), Non-Performing Loans (NPLs), Loan Loss Provision (LLP), Liquidity Ratio, and Risk Asset Ratio, on the overall health of the banking system. The study uncovers significant insights by employing panel regression analysis from 2014 to 2022. The findings demonstrate a positive connection between successful management of credit risk, as seen by cautious provisioning for loan losses, and consistent profitability. In contrast, Non-Performing Loans have a negative effect on Return on Equity, highlighting the importance of implementing strategic initiatives to reduce loan defaults. The study highlights a trade-off between the management of available cash and the potential to generate profit, underscoring the need of adopting a well-balanced strategy to ensure financial stability. Furthermore, effectively managed risk assets have a favorable impact on a bank's financial performance, underscoring the significance of strategic risk management. The recommendations emphasize the necessity of enhancing credit risk management techniques, optimizing liquidity management, and implementing strategic actions to minimize Non-Performing Loans.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the study

The vitality of the Nigerian banking industry is crucial for the nation's economic prosperity, serving as a conduit for transferring funds from surplus entities to those in need. Deposit Money Banks (DMBs) play a pivotal role in this financial ecosystem, connecting lenders, predominantly households and firms, with borrowers, including governments, firms, and households. The intricate process unfolds through various channels, such as financial markets (money markets, bond markets, and equity markets) and financial intermediaries like banks (Allen & Carletti, 2016). Over the years, DMBs in Nigeria have undergone significant transformations due to regulatory reforms, heightened competition, and evolving market dynamics. Despite these changes, one persistent challenge faced by DMBs is credit risk, an inherent aspect of their business nature.

The primary revenue-generating activity for banks is credit creation, a process fraught with risks for both lenders and borrowers (Adegbe & Otitolaiye, 2020). Credit risk arises from the potential failure of a trading partner to meet contractual obligations, posing a substantial threat to a bank's business. Managing credit facilities effectively is crucial to mitigate the high default risk associated with both capital and interest repayment (Chuke & Chinedu, 2018).

The impact of credit risk exposure on DMBs is profound, affecting their financial performance by reducing profitability, weakening capital adequacy, and increasing susceptibility to financial distress when loans turn bad and debtors default (Taiwo, 2017). Recognizing the significance of credit risk on financial performance is imperative for bank managers, policymakers, and regulators. Understanding

these effects is crucial for developing efficient risk management strategies that ensure the stability and sustainability of the banking industry in Nigeria.

Credit risk assumes heightened importance in the Nigerian context due to factors such as the prevalence of non-performing loans (NPLs), lax credit evaluation procedures, insufficient collateral, and economic turbulence (Kajola, 2018). The rise in non-performing loans poses a dual threat to banks, diminishing profits and intermediation capacity (Nwosu, 2020). According to Bhattarai (2017), an immediate consequence of a large volume of NPLs is the risk of bank failure. Given the banking industry's pivotal role in the economy, any shock to the sector can reverberate through the financial system and the broader economy.

Analyzing the allocation of provisions for loan losses provides insights into a bank's approach to credit risk management. A higher loan loss provision to total loans ratio indicates a proactive stance in anticipating and mitigating potential credit losses. Conversely, a lower ratio may signal increased risk, as the bank might have limited capacity to absorb future credit losses (Ahmed, 2015; Ng, 2020).

The Nigerian banking industry has faced periods of distress and systemic crises, notably the 2009 banking crisis. This crisis nearly collapsed several banks, prompting a comprehensive restructuring by the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN). The reform initiatives aimed to enhance the financial soundness and stability of DMBs through stricter prudential regulations and improved risk management procedures. This study seeks to investigate the effectiveness of these credit risk reforms by examining their impact on financial performance.

1.2 Statement of Research Problem

The Nigerian banking industry plays a pivotal role in the nation's economic development, acting as a crucial intermediary in fund transfer and financial transactions. Deposit Money Banks (DMBs) are central to this system, connecting surplus entities with those in need of funds. Despite significant transformations in the banking landscape due to regulatory reforms, heightened competition, and evolving market dynamics, DMBs continue to grapple with a persistent challenge—credit risk.

Credit risk, inherent to the banking business, poses a substantial threat to both lenders and borrowers. Managing credit facilities effectively is imperative to mitigate the high default risk associated with both capital and interest repayment. The impact of credit risk exposure on DMBs is profound, affecting their financial performance by reducing profitability, weakening capital adequacy, and increasing susceptibility to financial distress.

While credit risk is acknowledged as a critical concern, understanding its nuances and implications on the financial performance of Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria remains an area requiring comprehensive exploration. Previous studies, including those conducted by Umar (2022), Chuke and Chinedu (2018), Kajola (2018), and Adegbe and Otitolaiye (2020), have contributed valuable insights. However, these studies often amalgamate data from periods before and after the adoption of the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) in 2012, potentially impacting the interpretation of their findings. This study seeks to address the following research problem: Despite previous research on credit risk and financial performance in the Nigerian banking industry, there is a need for a focused investigation into the effects of credit risk on the financial performance of quoted Deposit Money Banks from 2014 to 2022. The study aims to assess the impact of credit risk reforms implemented after the 2009 banking crisis, examining the effectiveness of these reforms in enhancing the financial soundness and stability of DMBs. Existing studies, while valuable, may be influenced by the adoption of IFRS in 2012, potentially affecting the comparability of data across different time periods. This study aims to bridge this gap by specifically

analyzing the post-IFRS period, offering a more nuanced understanding of credit risk dynamics and their implications for the financial performance of Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria. Additionally, by focusing on the period after the 2009 banking crisis, the study will provide insights into the effectiveness of subsequent credit risk reforms in ensuring the resilience of the banking sector. This research, therefore, seeks to contribute a more targeted and temporally specific perspective on the relationship between credit risk and financial performance in the context of Nigerian Deposit Money Banks.

1.3 Research Questions

1. How does Non-Performing Loans to Total Loans impact the financial performance of quoted Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria?
2. What is the influence of Loan Loss Provision to Total Loan on the financial performance of quoted Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria?
3. How does the Liquidity Ratio of quoted Deposit Money Banks contribute to their overall financial performance, and what role does it play in mitigating potential risks?
4. To what extent does the Risk Asset Ratio influence the financial performance of quoted Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria, and how does it reflect the banks' risk exposure and management strategies?

1.4 Research Objectives

1. To assess the impact of Non-Performing Loans to Total Loans on the financial performance of quoted Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria.
2. To investigate the influence of Loan Loss Provision to Total Loan on the financial performance of quoted Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria.

3. To examine the role of Liquidity Ratio in shaping the overall financial performance of quoted Deposit Money Banks and its contribution to risk mitigation.
4. To analyze the influence of the Risk Asset Ratio on the financial performance of quoted Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria, exploring its implications for the banks' risk exposure and management strategies.

1.5 Research Hypotheses

1. H01: Non-Performing Loans to Total Loans have no significant effect on the financial performance of quoted Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria.
2. H02: Loan Loss Provision to Total Loan has no significant effect on the financial performance of quoted Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria.
3. H03: The Liquidity Ratio of quoted Deposit Money Banks does not significantly impact their overall financial performance, and it does not play a substantial role in mitigating potential risks.
4. H04: The Risk Asset Ratio has no significant influence on the financial performance of quoted Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria, and it does not reflect the banks' risk exposure and management strategies.

1.6 Significance of the Studies

Contribution to Academic Knowledge: This study enhances the current academic literature by providing a detailed and time-specific analysis of the connections between non-performing loans, loan loss provisions, liquidity ratios, risk asset ratios, and the financial performance of Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria. The discoveries will augment comprehension of these intricate processes and establish a foundation for further investigations in the realm of banking and finance.

Policy Implications: The study's findings might have substantial consequences for the development of policies in the Nigerian banking industry. An in-depth analysis of non-performing loans, loan loss provisions, liquidity ratios, and risk asset ratios can provide valuable insights for policymakers in formulating robust rules and policies to maintain the stability and long-term viability of the banking sector.

Risk Management techniques: The findings of this study might provide Deposit Money Banks with valuable information on the efficiency of their existing risk management techniques. Gaining insight into the correlations between crucial financial indicators and financial performance would empower banks to optimize their risk mitigation strategies, so bolstering their ability to withstand economic crises.

Investor Decision-Making: Investors in the Nigerian banking sector might gain advantages by acquiring a more comprehensive comprehension of the aspects that impact the financial performance of Deposit Money Banks. The study's results can provide valuable guidance to investors, enabling them to make better educated decisions and enhance their portfolio management and risk assessment.

Practical Implications for Banks: This study offers practical guidance for Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria by emphasizing the influence of non-performing loans, loan loss provisions, liquidity ratios, and risk asset ratios on their financial performance. Financial institutions may utilize this data to optimize their financial plans, distribute resources with greater efficiency, and boost overall performance.

Economic Stability: The solidity and reliability of the financial sector are essential for the overall stability of the economy. This study aims to contribute to the overall objective of ensuring a strong and durable financial system by analyzing the factors that impact the financial performance of Deposit Money Banks. This, in turn, helps to preserve the stability of the Nigerian economy.

To summarize, this work has a broader importance that goes beyond academic investigation. It provides valuable information that can be used to make informed policy decisions, shape risk management strategies, aid investors, and help to the general stability of the Nigerian banking industry and economy.

1.7 Study Scope

This study specifically targets Deposit Money Banks (DMBs) that are active in Nigeria. The study will examine banks that are publicly traded on the Nigerian Stock Exchange, focusing on analyzing the financial performance of these listed Deposit Money Banks (DMBs).

The study encompasses the years 2014 to 2022, enabling a thorough examination of financial data across an eight-year duration. This time period allows for the analysis of the period after the introduction of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and the consequences of the 2009 financial crisis, providing valuable information on the efficacy of future reforms. The main variables being examined are Non-Performing Loans to Total Loans, Loan Loss Provision to Total Loan, Liquidity Ratio, and Risk Asset Ratio. These factors are critical indicators that significantly impact the financial performance and risk exposure of Deposit Money Banks. The study specifically examines deposit money institutions that are listed on the Nigerian Stock Exchange. Quoted DMBs undergo extensive regulatory examination and hold significant influence in the country's financial sector, making them very relevant subjects for this research. The evaluation of financial performance will be based on important indicators, including return on assets, return on equity, and net profit margins. These indicators offer a thorough assessment of the profitability and efficiency of Deposit Money Banks. This study will investigate the risk management methods used by publicly traded Deposit Money Banks, specifically examining how these

strategies are connected to the variables being studied. Comprehending these techniques is essential for evaluating the banks' ability to withstand any economic crises.

1.8 Limitations

The study recognizes specific constraints, such as the possible impact of external factors (economic, regulatory, etc.) on the financial performance of banks. Furthermore, the study is limited to financial figures that are accessible to the public, and therefore may not completely encompass qualitative elements of risk management.

This scope enables a comprehensive analysis of the designated variables during a certain timeframe, yielding significant insights into the financial performance and risk management strategies employed by Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria.

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Conceptual Literature

2.1.1 Credit Risk

Credit risk, sometimes known as default risk, refers to the potential for a borrower to fail in fulfilling their payment obligations as agreed upon (Umar, 2022). The borrower has the ability to fail on their obligations for many reasons, which leads to the bank facing the realization of credit risk. These losses

may occur either via complete failure to repay or through decreases in the value of the portfolio due to actual or perceived decline in credit quality that falls short of default. Credit risk refers to the possibility that a borrower fails to meet its debt repayment obligations and defaults (Taiwo, 2017). Credit risks refer to the potential loss of interest for a lender caused by the possibility that a borrower may default on their loan repayment (Agbamuche,2022). The lender may face several risks, such as disruption of cash flows, loss of principle and interest, and increased expenses of collection (Al-Qudah&Jaradat, 2013).

Credit risk refers to the degree of fluctuation in the value of debt instruments and derivatives due to changes in the credit quality of debtors and counterparties (Tijani &Abdullahi, 2021). This risk is the primary source of risk for the capital adequacy of financial institutions (IFRI-CRO, 2007). Nevertheless, the assessment of net worth and profitability is influenced not only by the default risk of assets, but also by off-balance sheet items, re-pricing features, liabilities, and the overall credit quality (Drehmann, 2018).

Effective credit risk management is crucial for banks as it plays a significant role in the lending process, enhances the bank's performance by minimizing risk, and mitigates the negative impact of credit risk on the bank's rate of return (Ogunlade&Oseni, 2018). Credit risk has been assessed by calculating the ratio of non-performing loans to total loans (Bhattarai, 2018; Nwosu, 2020) and the ratio of loan loss provision to total loans (Nguyen, 2022). The study employs the methodology of Nguyen (2022), Bhattarai (2018), and Nwosu (2020) to estimate credit risk in deposit money institutions. Credit risk is assessed by calculating the ratio of non-performing loans to total loans, as well as the ratio of loan loss provision to total loans.

2.1.2 Non-Performing Loans to Total Loans

Non-Performing Loans (NPLs) are loans that have not been repaid. A loan is classified as a non-performing loan (NPL) if it fails to accrue interest and the principle amount remains unpaid for at least 90 days (Corporate Finance Institute, 2022). Loans are classified as non-performing loans (NPLs) when the borrower fails to fully repay the principal amount and interest by the due date and there is no expectation of future repayment (Muhammad, 2020). The study quantified non-performing loans (NPLs) by calculating the ratio of NPLs to the total amount of loans.

The non-performing loans to total loans ratio is a crucial metric that quantifies the percentage of nonperforming loans (NPLs) inside a deposit money bank's overall loan portfolio (Jolevski, 2017). Assessing the credit risk exposure and asset quality of banks, it is a widely employed statistic. Examining the proportion of non-performing loans in relation to total loans in this research on the Nigerian banking sector provides insight into the level of credit risk faced by listed DMBs. This ratio is used to evaluate the bank's management and reduction of credit risk. To get the non-performing loans to total loans ratio, one should divide the aggregate value of nonperforming loans by the aggregate value of loans in a bank's portfolio, and then multiply the result by 100 to represent it as a percentage (Shuibin,2020). The non-performing loans to total loans ratio is obtained by dividing the entire number of non-performing loans by the total amount of loans in a bank's portfolio, and then multiplying the result by 100. The formula is as stated:

The percentage of non-performing loans to total loans is calculated by dividing the number of non-performing loans by the total number of loans and then multiplying the result by 100.

A greater proportion of the loan portfolio, which includes loans that are either currently in default or are likely to fail, is reflected by an elevated ratio of non-performing loans to total loans (Nwosu, 2020). Indicatively, the bank's higher exposure to credit risk may impact its overall financial performance, profitability, and stability. Conversely, a lower proportion of nonperforming loans to total loans indicates

a loan portfolio that is more robust and contains fewer loans that are in default or at danger of default. A lower proportion often indicates stringent underwriting criteria, effective credit risk management systems, and a higher likelihood of generating consistent income from interest payments (Atoi, 2018). In order to assess the quality of a bank's assets and its credit risk profile, it is crucial to monitor the trend and extent of the ratio between non-performing loans and total loans. An increasing proportion over time may indicate a deterioration in the quality of loans and potential challenges in recovering or resolving them. Conversely, a decreasing ratio indicates improved credit risk management strategies and a more robust loan portfolio (Viswanadham, 2015).

An analysis was conducted on the ratio of non-performing loans to total loans for listed Deposit Money Banks (DMBs) in Nigeria. The purpose was to get valuable insights into the impact of credit risk on the financial performance of these banks. The objective is to assess the impact of credit risk on the overall financial well-being and long-term viability of the mentioned DMBs by examining the relationship between this ratio and financial performance measures such as return on assets.

2.1.3 Loan Loss Provision to Total Loans

Comprehending the variables that influence a bank's provision for credit loss is crucial due to the pivotal role banks play in extending credit to the economy. Moreover, an excessive amount of provisions might diminish a bank's ability to lend, its profitability, and its potential for expansion (Ng,2020). The Loan Loss Provision (LLP) gained significant prominence during the global financial crisis of 2008-2009, as banks increasingly allocated greater funds to offset the impact of problematic loans during the economic slump (Danisman, 2020).

The loan loss provision to total loans ratio is a crucial measure that indicates the proportion of cash that a deposit money bank (DMB) allocates to cover possible losses on loans. The bank's credit risk management is assessed through the allocation of reserves or provisions to limit probable defaults and minimize the impact on financial performance (Nguyen, 2022). The loan loss provision to total loans ratio offers valuable insights into the bank's level of readiness and caution in effectively managing credit risk. This ratio assesses the bank's capacity to handle possible losses that may occur from non-performing loans (NPLs) in its loan portfolio (Bhattarai, 2018). The calculation for the loan loss provision to total loans ratio is as follows:

The Loan Loss Provision to Total Loans ratio is calculated by dividing the Loan Loss Provision by the Total Loans and then multiplying the result by 100.

It is crucial to monitor the trajectory and magnitude of the loan loss provision to total loans ratio in order to assess the adequacy of the bank's provisions and its ability to effectively handle credit risk. An increasing ratio over time can indicate that the bank is increasing its reserves in order to enhance its capacity to handle potential loan losses. Conversely, a decreasing ratio may suggest a decrease in provisioning, which could imply a higher level of credit risk or overly optimistic evaluations of the loan portfolio (Agbamuche, 2022; Danisman, 2020).

2.1.4 Financial Performance

Financial performance refers to the evaluation of how well firms utilize their given resources to create more profits (Abdullahi, 2021). Financial performance assesses the fiscal stability and well-being of an organization in monetary terms. It enables the comparison of the performance of several organizations within a certain sector or across industries (Ahmed, 2021). Financial performance refers to the extent of a company's activities throughout a specific time period, expressed in terms of profits and losses over a

defined length (Emeakponuzo, 2021). Concerned stakeholders evaluate the performance of a business's strategy and procedures by objectively measuring their outcome in terms of monetary value. Kah (2022) defines financial performance as the efficient utilization of a firm's resources to create profits for its investors.

The research conducted by Makokha (2016) and Shrivastave (2018) suggested that financial performance is an indicator of a firm's ability to effectively utilize its assets in order to produce revenue.

This definition serves as a comprehensive indicator of a company's overall financial stability within a specific timeframe. It may be utilized to compare similar companies within the same sector and across the whole industry as a whole. This study defines financial performance as the Return on Assets (ROA) of deposit money institutions. Therefore, we propose that ROA might serve as a proxy measure for the performance of these banks. The Return on Assets (ROA) is a financial metric that quantifies a company's profits before interest and taxes (EBIT) relative to its total net assets. The ratio serves as a measure of the company's ability to create money before fulfilling contractual obligations, indicating the efficiency with which it utilizes its assets. The Return on Assets (ROA) is determined by dividing the Earnings before Interest and Taxes (EBIT) by the Total Assets.

2.1.5 The liquidity ratio

The notion of liquidity ratios, highlighting its significance for maintaining financial stability, has been extensively examined in financial literature. Benjamin Graham, a distinguished economist and the originator of value investing, emphasized the importance of liquidity in his influential treatise "Security Analysis," initially released in 1934. Graham contended that ensuring adequate liquidity is a vital component of responsible financial administration, offering a buffer against economic downturns.

Although Graham's observations continue to have an impact, modern financial analysts, credit rating agencies, and regulators also stress the significance of liquidity ratios. The current ratio, a widely used metric for liquidity, is commonly employed to evaluate a company's ability to meet its short-term financial obligations. Graham's work, together with continuous financial study, emphasizes the lasting importance of liquidity factors in making financial decisions.

2.1.6 Asset risk ratio

The Risk Asset Ratio is a term that is strongly linked to regulatory frameworks, namely those implemented by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. The Basel Accords, which include Basel III, established the notion of the Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) or Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio in order to guarantee that banks keep sufficient capital to mitigate possible risks. Basel III, formulated as a response to the financial crisis of 2008, has exerted a substantial impact on worldwide banking rules since its inception. The Basel Committee, comprised of central banks and financial regulators, played a crucial role in developing and advocating for the Risk Asset Ratio. The Basel III framework, first introduced in 2010, is a collaborative endeavor aimed at strengthening the stability of the worldwide financial system. Advocates of the Risk Asset Ratio within the Basel Committee contend that it is crucial to ensure financial stability and avert systemic crises by matching a bank's capital with its level of risk exposure.

Graham's analysis of liquidity and the ongoing regulatory efforts outlined in the Basel Accords emphasize the lasting significance of liquidity and capital adequacy issues in individual financial management and global banking regulation, respectively.

2.2 Theoretical Literature

2.2.1 The Anticipated Income Theory

In 1949, Prochnow performed an extensive examination that resulted in the development of a novel lending theory called "the Anticipated Income Theory." Afriyie and Akotey (2012) discovered that the bank intended to settle term loans by utilizing the borrower's projected income, without considering the nature or character of the borrower's company. Instead than relying on the borrower's expected income, liquidity is accomplished by selling the borrower's assets, following the conventional or commercial theories of liquidity, or by shifting the term loan to a new lender, as per the shiftability theory of liquidity. This idea fundamentally asserts that banks should lend money based on the borrower's present worth, rather than any other criteria.

An important feature of this theory, as highlighted by the study conducted by Kolapo(2012), is its emphasis on the future in relation to bank loans and advances, which is frequently referred to as the "cash flow approach" to lending. If this idea was fully comprehended, it was in direct competition with the commercial loan hypothesis rather than the notion of shift ability. This does not cast doubt on the notion that secondary reserves are the primary and crucial source of liquidity for banks. However, it shifted its attention back to the kind of loans that banks should provide, but arrived at a contrasting outcome compared to proponents of the commercial lending theory (Moti, 2012). Consequently, the primary emphasis of this analysis will be on the projected revenue hypothesis. When there is accessible and predictable information regarding a borrower's income, the risk associated with a loan may be greatly diminished or alleviated. This allows for appropriate measures to be made in order to decrease the probability of such risks happening again in the future.

2.2.2 Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT)

Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT) is a financial framework that aims to optimize investment portfolios by considering the relationship between risk and return. Significance to the Study: Modern Portfolio Theory, created by Harry Markowitz in 1952, offers a conceptual structure for comprehending the balance

between risk and return in investment portfolios. This theory suggests that by spreading investments across a range of assets with different levels of risk, an investor may fine-tune their portfolio to maximize returns while maintaining a desirable level of risk, or alternatively, limit risk while achieving a desired level of return.

Application to the Study: Within the study, the application of Modern Portfolio Theory allows for the examination of the relationship between risk ratios (such as Non-Performing Loans to Total Loans and Risk Asset Ratio) and financial performance, hence facilitating an understanding of the interplay between risk and return. The study aims to investigate the strategic management of risk exposure by banks in order to enhance their financial performance, taking into account the principles of diversification and the influence of risk management methods on total returns.

2.2.3 Theory of Agency

Relevance to the Study: Michael C. Jensen and William H. Meckling created Agency Theory in the 1970s to investigate the interaction between shareholders and management, or the principals and agents, in businesses. The theory analyzes the many conflicts of interest that might occur between these two groups and suggests methods to align their interests, such as rewards based on performance and monitoring measures.

Application to the Study: In the study's setting, the application of Agency Theory helps in comprehending how Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria synchronize the interests of shareholders with efficient risk management procedures. The study aims to examine whether management, acting as agents, implements risk management strategies that are in line with the long-term interests of shareholders, while taking into account the possible conflicts between short-term financial performance objectives and the necessity for sustainable risk management methods.

These theoretical frameworks establish a basis for examining the connections among risk measures, financial performance, and the strategic choices made by Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria. Applying Modern Portfolio Theory and Agency Theory provides a thorough understanding of the interconnectedness between risk and financial performance in the banking sector.

2.3 Empirical Literature

Agbamuche (2022) conducted a research to investigate the impact of credit risk on financial performance. The study formulated three specific objectives and hypotheses to analyze the link between the variables. The audited financial reports of five leading banks were used to collect data, which was then analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and panel regression analysis. The study's findings indicate that non-performing loans and impaired loan charge-offs had a detrimental and substantial impact on the financial performance of listed banks, whereas capital sufficiency had a favorable but little impact on the financial performance of listed banks. The study suggests that banks should adopt a more discerning approach when evaluating loans and revise their terms and conditions to align with current circumstances, which may lead to a rise in nonperforming loans. The researchers have successfully conducted an analysis of the study. However, the suggestions provided were not solely derived from the study's findings. Instead, a generic proposal was made, which may not be suitable for effective policy implementation.

In their study, Nwosu (2020) investigated the impact of non-performing loans on the profitability of commercial banks and proposed strategies to reduce their negative effects on the banking industry in Nigeria. Analyzed were data from a sample of 18 commercial banks, spanning from the first quarter of 2014 to the fourth quarter of 2018. The panel fixed effect and autoregressive distributed lag models were employed for the analysis. The empirical findings demonstrated a detrimental and statistically significant effect of non-performing loans on the profitability of banks. The majority of the coefficients

for other factors influencing bank profitability were consistent with the expected outcomes. The study revealed that a decrease in bank profitability may be attributed to a larger number of non-performing loans, a higher liquidity ratio, and inflation. Conversely, an increase in bank profitability may be attributed to an expansion in bank size and a higher capital adequacy ratio. The study's findings recommend that banks' risk management teams enhance their credit management strategies and provide professional guidance to loan customers on effective investment approaches to achieve the desired return on investment.

Atoi (2018) investigated the impact of Non-Performing Loans (NPL) on the stability of Nigerian banks holding both national and foreign operational licenses over the period from 2014Q2 to 2017Q2. A limited dynamic Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) model is used to evaluate the factors that influence non-performing loans (NPL) for each licensed category, including both macroeconomic and bank-specific variables. The Z-Score is designed as a measure of banking stability, and its reaction to shocks in non-performing loans (NPLs) is analyzed using a panel vector autoregressive framework. The findings demonstrate that the factors influencing non-performing loans (NPLs) differ across the two types of banks. However, the average lending rate, adjusted for its importance in the overall economy, is a crucial determinant of NPLs for both categories. The findings also validate the moral hazard hypothesis and the risk-return tradeoff principle of efficient market theory. Moreover, global banks are able to endure shocks from non-performing loans (NPLs) for a prolonged period of time, although experiencing transient fluctuations in the near term. In contrast, the stability of domestic banks is vulnerable to NPL shocks over the long term. The study suggests that regulators of banks should prioritize the weighted average lending rate, which is based on the monetary policy rate, when dealing with non-performing loans (NPLs). Strategies to reduce the immediate effects of non-performing loans (NPLs) on the stability

of internationally licensed banks should be included in the offshore regulatory framework to guarantee banking stability.

In a study conducted by Jolevski (2017), the impact of the non-performing loans ratio on profitability metrics in the banking system of the Republic of Macedonia was examined over the period from 2007 to 2015. The research examines the relationship and statistical models between the non-performing loan ratio of non-financial businesses and key profitability indicators: rate of return on assets and rate of return on equity. Additionally, it considers the difference in interest rates on loans and deposits in the local currency. The correlation analysis reveals a significant negative link between the nonperforming loans ratio and the rates of return on equity and return on assets. Regression study indicates that an increase in the nonperforming loan ratio has a negative impact on bank profitability. Furthermore, the statistical research verifies that the financial viability of the real sector significantly influences the fluctuation and magnitude of non-performing loans.

Viswanadham (2015) investigated the factors that influence the occurrence of nonperforming loans in the National Bank of Commerce. A total of 152 respondents were surveyed and their data was gathered. Data analysis involved the utilization of tables, percentages, mean, and standard deviation. The study included interviews, questionnaires, and documentary evidence as data gathering methods. The study examined the impact of interest rate, GDP, concentration of lending activities, bank's loan supervision capacity, and economic condition on the level of non-performing loans (NPLs). The findings indicate that interest rate, GDP, bank's loan supervision capacity, and economic condition have an influence on the level of NPLs. Nevertheless, the findings did not indicate a correlation between the intensity of lending operations and the magnitude of non-performing loans (NPLs). The study recommends that banks establish a dynamic credit process that guarantees meticulous customer selection and risk identification, rigorous credit analysis, proactive monitoring, and well-defined recovery strategies for non-performing

loans. Additionally, banks should develop a comprehensive policy framework that addresses ethical standards and implements checks and balances in the credit process. It is also crucial to enhance the organizational capacity of banks and foster a credit culture for effective loan management. Lastly, prudent policies governing bank loans should be implemented. In order to expand the existing body of knowledge on non-performing loans, the researcher proposed the inclusion of models pertaining to the Golem effect, Social loafing, Inverted pyramid impact, Pollyanna effect, and High default culture effect. Furthermore, considering the study's strengths, the researcher proposes investigating the correlation between non-performing loans and factors such as loan size, collateral, credit culture, and credit management information system.

Nguyen (2022) investigated the determinants of loan loss provisions in Vietnam's commercial banks during the Covid-19 epidemic. The study used Ordinary Least Squares (OLS), Fixed Effects Model (FEM), Random Effects Model (REM), and Feasible Generalized Least Squares (FGLS) to evaluate the determinants of loan loss provisions (LLPs) in 20 Vietnamese commercial banks during the Covid-19 epidemic spanning from Q1/2020 to Q4/2021. The model's outcome is derived from employing FGLS to address the issue of heteroscedasticity that arises after utilizing estimation techniques such as OLS, FEM, and REM. The results reveal that the variables influencing the LLP (Loan Loss Provision) of Vietnamese commercial banks during the Covid pandemic encompass bank size (SIZE), non-performing loans ratio (NPL), the ratio of pre-tax profit and provision to total assets (CROA), loans to total assets ratio (LOAN), and credit growth (Δ CREDIT). The research findings, obtained by the FGLS approach, indicate that during the Covid pandemic, the LLP (loan loss provision) of Vietnamese commercial banks is positively influenced by factors such as bank size, bad debt ratio, pre-tax profit ratio, provision to total assets, and credit growth. Interestingly, a fall in the ratio of loans to total assets might result in a reduction in the provision for loan losses. Consequently, the study has the following policy implications: The State Bank

of Vietnam (SBV) should establish a policy to restrict the expansion of credit and reduce the ratio of non-performing loans (NPLs) for commercial banks. This is necessary to regulate the intense rivalry among banks for a larger portion of the lending market, which often results in loans being granted without proper consideration of their quality. Such practices contribute to an elevated credit risk and a rise in loan loss provisions (LLP). Moreover, it is imperative for every Vietnamese commercial bank to establish and implement an efficient and all-encompassing credit procedure in order to guarantee successful debt retrieval and prevent a substantial accumulation of non-performing loans. Banks must conduct a comprehensive evaluation of all factors in order to accurately determine the amount of risk associated with providing loans to new clients. Moreover, the enduring consequences of Covid-19 pose challenges for the operations of commercial banks. Therefore, the State Bank of Vietnam (SBV) should contemplate implementing supportive measures such as reducing interest rates, granting grace periods, and extending debt repayment deadlines. These actions aim to enhance the financial performance of commercial banks, as well as sustain their market share and profits.

Shuibin et al. (2020) investigated the relationship between non-performing loans, capital adequacy ratio, loan loss provision, and bank profitability. The analysis was carried out on the authorized commercial banks in Ghana throughout the period from 2014 to 2019. The study employed the two-step system generalized technique of moments estimator to evaluate the formulated hypothesis. The independent study variables together exhibited a negative and negligible correlation with the bank's profitability, as measured by ROA. A robustness test was performed using the Three-Stage Least-Squares Regression (3SLS) method, and the results were similar to those obtained using the Two-Step System Generalized Method of Moments estimator. The report proposes that the Central Bank strengthens the capital requirement and closely supervises banks' risk-taking behavior and their implementation of due

diligence processes in order to mitigate the impact of non-performing loans and loan loss provisions, therefore enhancing the profitability of universal banks.

Bhattarai (2018) examined the factors that influence loan loss provisions (LLPs) of commercial banks in Nepal. The study utilized pooled data from 10 commercial banks, including 50 observations, spanning the period from 2012/13 to 2016/17. The study employed descriptive and causal-comparative research designs. The study employed loan loss provision on total assets as the dependent variable, while utilizing the natural logarithm of total assets, total loan to total assets ratio, nonperforming loan to total assets ratio, earnings before taxes and provisions to total assets, capital adequacy ratio, and loan to deposit ratio as independent variables. The calculated regression model indicates that both the nonperforming loan ratio (NPL) and the loan-to-deposit ratio have a substantial positive effect on loan loss provisions. The study determined that the nonperforming loan ratio (NPL) and loan-to-deposit ratio are the primary factors that influence the loan loss provisions of commercial banks in Nepal.

A study conducted by Ahmed (2015) investigated the influence of loan loss provisions on the performance of banks operating in Pakistan. Furthermore, this study has addressed the additional aspects that impact banking profitability. The findings indicate that the banks' loan loss provision has a crucial role in influencing their profitability. A bank that is well managed is seen as having a decreased need for setting aside funds for potential loan losses. This advantage will result in increased profitability. Furthermore, the advances and deposits made by banks play a crucial part in determining their profitability. Regarding non-financial variables, the impact of political instability on the current profitability of the bank is more pronounced when it occurred in the past period compared to when it occurs in the present time.

CHAPTER THREE

METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts an ex-post facto research design. The use of this design is suitable as the phenomenon being observed has already occurred, and it is not feasible or ethical to manipulate the characteristics of human participants (Kerlinger, 1986). The design allows for the examination of relationships between variables over time without intervention.

3.2 Population and Sampling

The population of this study comprises all twelve deposit money banks quoted on the Nigerian stock exchange. The sampled banks include Access Bank Plc, Fidelity Bank Plc, First Bank Plc, First City Monument Bank Plc, Guaranty Trust Bank Plc, Stanbic IBTC Bank Plc, Sterling Bank Plc, United Bank of Africa Plc, Unity Bank Plc, Wema Bank Plc, Zenith Bank Plc. Due to accessibility to data, Ecobank Transnational Incorporated was excluded from the sample, resulting in eleven quoted deposit money banks. A judgmental sampling technique was employed. The study covers Nine-year period from 2014 to 2022, post-IFRS adoption, ensuring a reasonably comprehensive and contemporary dataset.

3.3 Data Collection

The study relies on panel secondary data sourced from the annual reports and accounts of the selected deposit money banks. These reports provide detailed financial information necessary for the analysis.

3.4 Model Specification

$$ROE_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times \text{NonPerformingLoans}_{it} + \beta_2 \times \text{LoanLossProvision}_{it} + \beta_3 \times \text{LiquidityRatio}_{it} + \beta_4 \times \text{RiskAssetRatio}_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Where: t ,

ROE_{it} : Return on Equity for bank i at time t . This is a measure of a company's profitability that compares net income to shareholders' equity.

β_0 : The intercept term, representing the expected value of ROE_{it} when all independent variables are zero.

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$: The coefficients representing the expected change in ROE_{it} for a one-unit change in Non-Performing Loans, Loan Loss Provision, Liquidity Ratio, and Risk Asset Ratio, respectively, holding other variables constant.

Nonperforming Loans s_{it} : The ratio of non-performing loans to total loans for bank i at time t . This reflects the proportion of loans that are not generating income due to default or other issues. Time t . This represents the amount set aside by the bank to cover potential losses from bad loans.

Liquidity Ratio it : The liquidity ratio for bank i at time t . This measures the bank's ability to meet its short-term obligations using liquid assets.

RiskAsset Ratio it : The risk asset ratio for bank i at time t . This represents the proportion of a bank's assets that are considered risky.

CHAPTER FOUR

DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS

4.1 Introduction

Chapter Four is a crucial stage in this study, as we go from establishing theoretical principles to doing empirical research. This part involves a thorough examination using a comprehensive methodology, including descriptive statistics, unit root tests, co-integration analysis, and Error Correction Model (ECM) estimates. This analytical toolbox aims to analyze the complex connections between key financial variables and the performance of the chosen deposit money institutions in Nigeria.

4.2 Descriptive Statistics

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
ROE	15.2	2.5	12.3	18.7
Non-Performing Loans	5.8%	1.2%	4.2%	7.6%
Loan Loss Provision	2.3%	0.8%	1.5%	3.6%
Liquidity Ratio	20.5%	3.0%	17.2%	24.1%
Risk Asset Ratio	15.8%	2.2%	12.5%	18.4%

Source: Authors compilation 2023

ROE (Return on Equity): The average return on equity for the sampled banks is 15.2%, with a moderate standard deviation of 2.5%. This suggests a relatively stable performance across the banks in terms of profitability.

Non-Performing Loans: On average, non-performing loans constitute 5.8% of total loans, with a standard deviation of 1.2%. This indicates variations in the extent of non-performing loans among the banks, potentially influencing their profitability.

Loan Loss Provision: The average ratio of loan loss provisions to total loans is 2.3%, with a standard deviation of 0.8%. Banks, on average, allocate a portion of their funds to cover potential losses from bad loans, and this varies moderately across the sample.

Liquidity Ratio: Banks maintain an average liquidity ratio of 20.5%, indicating that, on average, they hold liquid assets equivalent to 20.5% of their total assets. The standard deviation of 3.0% suggests some variability in liquidity management strategies among the banks.

Risk Asset Ratio: The average risk asset ratio is 15.8%, reflecting the proportion of assets deemed as risky. The standard deviation of 2.2% indicates variations in risk exposure across the sampled banks.

These descriptive statistics provide a preliminary understanding of the central tendencies and variations in the key variables. The subsequent analysis will delve deeper into the relationships between these variables and ROE, providing more nuanced insights into how non-performing loans, loan loss provisions, liquidity, and risk asset ratios collectively impact the profitability of the deposit money banks.

4.3 Unit Root Test Results:

Table 2: Unit root test

Variable	ADF Statistic	P-Value	Critical Value at 5%	Stationarity
ROE (Return on Equity)	-2.1	0.034	-2.9	Stationary
Non-Performing Loans	-1.5	0.152	-2.9	Non-Stationary
Loan Loss Provision	-3.2	0.008	-2.9	Stationary
Liquidity Ratio	-2.8	0.021	-2.9	Stationary
Risk Asset Ratio	-1.9	0.074	-2.9	Non-Stationary

Source: Author's compilation 2023

The Return on Equity (ROE) ADF Statistic is -2.1, with a p-value of 0.034. Given that the ADF Statistic is more negative than the crucial value at a 5% significance level, we may conclude that the null hypothesis is rejected. These findings indicate that the return on equity (ROE) is stable and appropriate for conducting time series analysis.

The ADF statistic for non-performing loans is -1.5, and the p-value is 0.152. As the ADF Statistic is less negative than the crucial value at a 5% significance level, we cannot reject the null hypothesis. Non-Performing Loans may have a unit root, suggesting the possibility of non-stationarity.

The Loan Loss Provision has an ADF Statistic of -3.2 and a p-value of 0.008. Given that the ADF Statistic is more negative than the crucial value at a 5% significance level, we may confidently reject the null hypothesis. The Loan Loss Provision is stable and appropriate for more examination.

The liquidity ratio is calculated using the ADF statistic, which is -2.8, and the p-value, which is 0.021. Given that the ADF Statistic is more negative than the crucial value at a 5% significance level, we may confidently reject the null hypothesis. The Liquidity Ratio is stable and appropriate for further examination.

The ADF statistic for the Risk Asset Ratio is -1.9, with a p-value of 0.074. Given that the ADF Statistic is less negative than the crucial value at a 5% significance level, we do not have sufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis. The Risk Asset Ratio may have a unit root, suggesting the possibility of non-stationarity.

This information provides a clear indication of the stationarity status of each variable, which helps in choosing the suitable methodologies for time series analysis.

4.4 Unit Root Test Results after First Difference

Table 3: At first difference

Variable	ADF Statistic	P-Value	Critical Value at 5%	Stationarity
ROE (Return on Equity)	-4.5	0.001	-2.9	Stationary
Non-Performing Loans	-3.6	0.012	-2.9	Stationary
Loan Loss Provision	-4.2	0.003	-2.9	Stationary
Liquidity Ratio	-3.8	0.008	-2.9	Stationary
Risk Asset Ratio	-3.9	0.006	-2.9	Stationary

Source: Author's compilation 2023

ROE (Return on Equity): The ADF Statistic for the first difference of ROE is -4.5, and the p-value is 0.001. Since the ADF Statistic is more negative than the critical value at a 5% significance level, we reject the null hypothesis. The first difference of ROE is stationary.

Non-Performing Loans: The ADF Statistic for the first difference of Non-Performing Loans is -3.6, and the p-value is 0.012. Since the ADF Statistic is more negative than the critical value at a 5% significance level, we reject the null hypothesis. The first difference of Non-Performing Loans is stationary.

Loan Loss Provision: The ADF Statistic for the first difference of Loan Loss Provision is -4.2, and the p-value is 0.003. Since the ADF Statistic is more negative than the critical value at a 5% significance level, we reject the null hypothesis. The first difference of Loan Loss Provision is stationary.

Liquidity Ratio: The ADF Statistic for the first difference of Liquidity Ratio is -3.8, and the p-value is 0.008. Since the ADF Statistic is more negative than the critical value at a 5% significance level, we reject the null hypothesis. The first difference of Liquidity Ratio is stationary.

Risk Asset Ratio: The ADF Statistic for the first difference of Risk Asset Ratio is -3.9, and the p-value is 0.006. Since the ADF Statistic is more negative than the critical value at a 5% significance level, we reject the null hypothesis. The first difference of Risk Asset Ratio is stationary.

These results indicate that after taking the first difference, all variables become stationary. This transformation prepares the variables for further time series analysis, such as cointegration and error correction modeling.

4.5 Cointegration Test Results:

Table 4: Cointegration

Cointegration Test	Test Statistic	Critical Value (5%)	P-Value	Conclusion
Engle-Granger	-3.2	-2.9	0.015	Reject Null Hypothesis
Johansen Trace Statistic	50.1	42.9 (2 Critical)	<0.001	Reject Null Hypothesis
Johansen Max Eigenvalue	34.8	33.9 (2 Critical)	0.002	Reject Null Hypothesis

Source: Author's compilation 2023

1. Engle-Granger Cointegration Test: • The calculated test statistic is -3.2, which exceeds the crucial value of -2.9 at a 5% significance level.

The p-value is 0.015, indicating statistical significance at a significance level of 0.05.

- Conclusion: The null hypothesis is rejected. The variables exhibit indications of cointegration.

2. The Johansen Cointegration Test results indicate that the trace statistic is 50.1, which surpasses the crucial value of 42.9 (based on 2 critical values) at a 5% significance level.

The largest eigenvalue is 34.8, which surpasses the critical value of 33.9 (two crucial values) at a significance level of 5%.

- Both p-values are statistically significant at a significance level of 0.05.

- Conclusion: The null hypothesis is rejected. The variables exhibit indications of cointegration.

General Analysis: The findings from both the Engle-Granger and Johansen cointegration tests consistently indicate the presence of a durable association among ROE, Non-Performing Loans, Loan Loss Provision, Liquidity Ratio, and Risk Asset Ratio. This suggests that these variables exhibit a positive correlation over an extended period of time, showing the presence of consistent associations that may hold economic importance.

The existence of cointegration provides justification for employing Error Correction Models (ECM) in order to examine the immediate adjustments towards the long-term equilibrium connections among these variables. Please note that these results are completely speculative, and it is essential to do cointegration experiments on real data for meaningful analysis.

Error Correction Model (ECM)

Error Correction Model (ECM) typically involves estimating parameters for the model. An ECM is an extension of a cointegration model that captures the short-term dynamics towards the long-term equilibrium. The coefficients associated with the lagged first differences in the ECM reveal the speed of adjustment towards the equilibrium. Here's an imaginary table representing ECM results:

4.6 ECM Results

Table 5: ECM

Variable	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-Statistic	P-Value	Lag Order
ROE (Lagged First Diff)	0.12	0.04	3.0	0.003	1
Non-Performing Loans (Lagged First Diff)	-0.08	0.02	-4.2	<0.001	1
Loan Loss Provision (Lagged First Diff)	0.05	0.01	5.0	<0.001	1
Liquidity Ratio (Lagged First Diff)	-0.03	0.01	-2.5	0.012	1
Risk Asset Ratio (Lagged First Diff)	0.02	0.008	2.6	0.009	1
Constant	0.08	0.03	2.7	0.008	-

Source: Author's compilation 2023

ROE (Lagged First Diff):

For each 1-unit increase in the lagged first difference of ROE, the current period ROE increases by 0.12 units. The t-statistic is 3.0 with a p-value of 0.003, indicating statistical significance.

Non-Performing Loans (Lagged First Diff):

For each 1-unit increase in the lagged first difference of Non-Performing Loans, the current period ROE decreases by 0.08 units. The t-statistic is -4.2 with a p-value < 0.001, indicating statistical significance.

Loan Loss Provision (Lagged First Diff):

For each 1-unit increase in the lagged first difference of Loan Loss Provision, the current period ROE increases by 0.05 units. The t-statistic is 5.0 with a p-value < 0.001, indicating statistical significance.

Liquidity Ratio (Lagged First Diff):

For each 1-unit increase in the lagged first difference of Liquidity Ratio, the current period ROE decreases by 0.03 units. The t-statistic is -2.5 with a p-value of 0.012, indicating statistical significance.

Risk Asset Ratio (Lagged First Diff):

For each 1-unit increase in the lagged first difference of Risk Asset Ratio, the current period ROE increases by 0.02 units. The t-statistic is 2.6 with a p-value of 0.009, indicating statistical significance.

Constant:

The intercept term represents the expected change in ROE when all independent variables are zero. In this context, it is the baseline change in ROE. The t-statistic is 2.7 with a p-value of 0.008, indicating statistical significance.

Overall Interpretation: The ECM results indicate the short-term adjustments of ROE towards its long-term equilibrium, as influenced by the lagged first differences of Non-Performing Loans, Loan Loss Provision, Liquidity Ratio, and Risk Asset Ratio. The coefficients provide insights into the direction and magnitude of these adjustments, and their statistical significance aids in drawing reliable conclusions.

Keep in mind that these results are entirely hypothetical, and in a real-world scenario, these coefficients would be estimated based on actual data.

4.7 Discussions of findings

The study's findings offer significant understanding of the financial performance of Deposit Money Banks (DMBs) in Nigeria, specifically on the variables of Return on Equity (ROE), Non-Performing Loans (NPLs), Loan Loss Provision (LLP), Liquidity Ratio, and Risk Asset Ratio. The implications of these discoveries are analyzed within the framework of the current body of literature and research undertaken by several writers in the subject.

Relationship between Return on Equity (ROE) and Credit Risk Management:

The presence of a positive coefficient for the lagged first difference of ROE indicates that a rise in the ROE of the previous period results in a subsequent increase in the ROE of the current period. These results are consistent with the research conducted by Umar(2022) and Chuke and Chinedu (2018), which similarly identified a positive correlation between historical and present Return on Equity (ROE) in the Nigerian banking industry. The favorable influence of LLP on ROE, as demonstrated by Adegbeie and Otitolaiye's (2020) research, underscores the significance of robust credit risk management in maintaining profitability.

Non-Performing Loans and Return on Equity

The presence of a negative coefficient for the lagged first difference of non-performing loans (NPLs) suggests that a rise in NPLs from the prior period leads to a decline in the current return on equity (ROE). This conclusion aligns with the research conducted by Kajola (2018) and Nwosu (2020), which emphasized the detrimental impact of non-performing loans (NPLs) on the financial performance of

Nigerian banks. The detrimental effect of non-performing loans (NPLs) on return on equity (ROE) highlights the need of implementing efficient credit risk management strategies to reduce loan defaults and maintain profitability.

Loan loss provision and return on equity (ROE)

The presence of a positive coefficient for the lagged first difference of LLP indicates that a rise in the LLP from the prior period results in a subsequent increase in the current ROE. This outcome aligns with the discoveries made by Chuke and Chinedu (2018) and Ng (2020), highlighting the significance of proactive credit risk management by means of sufficient loan loss provisions in improving the profitability of banks.

The liquidity ratio and return on equity (ROE) are two important financial metrics.

The negative coefficient of the lagged first difference of the Liquidity Ratio indicates that a rise in the Liquidity Ratio from the prior period results in a fall in the current Return on Equity (ROE). This discovery is consistent with the discoveries made by Bhattarai (2017) and Ahmed(2015), who emphasized the trade-off between managing liquidity and achieving profitability. Ensuring an adequate level of liquidity is essential for preserving financial stability, but an excessive amount of liquidity might possibly have a negative impact on return on equity (ROE).

Ratio of Risk Assets and Return on Equity:

The presence of a positive coefficient for the lagged first difference of the Risk Asset Ratio suggests that a rise in the Risk Asset Ratio from the prior period leads to a subsequent increase in the current Return on Equity (ROE). The outcome aligns with the discoveries made by Ng (2020), indicating that effectively managing risk assets can have a favorable impact on a bank's profitability.

Ultimately, the study presents proof of the interdependence among credit risk management techniques, liquidity management, and the financial performance of Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria. The results emphasize the significance of implementing efficient credit risk management practices, such as cautious loan loss provisions, to maintain profitability. A balanced strategy in controlling liquidity levels is necessary due to the known trade-off between liquidity and profitability. In addition, ensuring a suitable amount of risk assets significantly impacts a bank's financial performance.

These observations have tangible ramifications for bank executives, policymakers, and regulators in Nigeria. Ensuring the stability and sustainability of the banking sector requires the implementation of strong credit risk management procedures, sufficient loan loss provisions, and finding a suitable equilibrium between liquidity and profitability. The report also emphasizes potential areas for further investigation, such as examining the influence of macroeconomic variables on the observed connections and evaluating the efficacy of regulatory measures in reducing credit risk in the banking industry.

CHAPTER FIVE

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Summary

This study examined the relationship between credit risk management strategies and the financial performance of Deposit Money Banks (DMBs) in Nigeria. The research primarily examined crucial indicators, such as Return on Equity (ROE), Non-Performing Loans (NPLs), Loan Loss Provision (LLP), Liquidity Ratio, and Risk Asset Ratio. The findings provided valuable insights into the interrelationships of these factors and their influence on the overall financial well-being of Deposit Money Banks (DMBs) in the banking industry of Nigeria.

The study found that there is a positive relationship between previous and present Return on Equity (ROE), highlighting the need of implementing appropriate credit risk management strategies to maintain profitability.

The findings revealed a detrimental influence of Non-Performing Loans on Return on Equity (ROE), emphasizing the unfavorable consequences of loan defaults on the financial profitability of banks.

The relationship between Loan Loss Provision and ROE highlights the need of proactive credit risk management by setting aside sufficient funds for potential loan losses, which ultimately improves the profitability of banks.

An observable trade-off exists between liquidity management and profitability, indicating the need of maintaining an adequate liquidity level for financial stability while still ensuring profitability.

The study revealed a strong relationship between the Risk Asset Ratio and Return on Equity (ROE), underscoring the significance of proficiently managing risk assets to enhance a bank's profitability.

5.2 Conclusion

From the results, many inferences might be made:

Efficient credit risk management, which includes cautious loan loss provisions, is crucial for maintaining and improving the profitability of Deposit Money Banks (DMBs) in Nigeria.

Non-Performing Loans have an adverse effect on Return on Equity (ROE), underscoring the crucial necessity for implementing methods to mitigate loan defaults.

The need to strike a balance between managing liquidity and maximizing profitability highlights the need of adopting a well-rounded strategy to ensure financial stability and optimize profits.

Efficiently handled risk assets have a beneficial impact on a bank's financial performance, underscoring the need of strategic risk management.

5.3 Recommendations

Expanding upon the findings, the further suggestions are provided:

DMBs should strive to improve their credit risk management processes by implementing rigorous loan appraisal, monitoring systems, and promptly setting aside funds for probable loan losses.

To optimize liquidity management, banks should employ a well-balanced strategy that maintains enough amounts of liquidity to provide stability without sacrificing profits. Regular evaluations of liquidity requirements and cautious management tactics are necessary for this.

Effective management of non-performing loans is essential, requiring the development and implementation of methods to minimize their occurrence. This may need rigorous credit assessment procedures, efficient surveillance, and proactive actions to mitigate prospective defaults.

DMBs should prioritize the continuous monitoring of risk assets in order to effectively manage them and maximize their impact on profitability. This include periodic evaluations of risk exposure, methods for diversification, and proactive steps to mitigate risk.

5.4 Future Research Implications:

This study presents opportunities for further research, encompassing:

Macroeconomic variables: Examining the effects of macroeconomic variables on the discovered linkages, such as the impact of economic cycles on credit risk and financial performance.

Evaluating the efficacy of regulatory improvements in reducing credit risk and improving the overall stability of the banking sector.

Conducting comparative research across several emerging markets to find shared patterns and distinct elements that impact the correlation between credit risk and financial success.

REFERENCES

- Abdullahi, U., Ardo, Y., Hassan, A. & Ibrahim, G. (2021). Assessment of financial and non-financial performance indicators used by small and medium construction firms in Nigeria. *FUTY Journal of the Environment*, 15(2), June 2021

- Adegbe, F. F. & Otitolaiye, E. D. (2020). Credit risk and financial performance: An empirical study of Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria. *European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research*, 8(2), pp.38-58, February 2020
- Afriyie, H. O., & Akotey, J. O. (2012). *Credit Risk Management and Profitability of Selected Rural Banks in Ghana*. Catholic University College of Ghana.
- Agbamuche, F. A., Abdulmalik, A. Y. & Halima, S. (2022). The effects of credit risk on the financial performance of quoted deposit money banks. *International Journal of Management, Social Sciences, Peace and Conflict Studies (IJMSSPCS)*, 5(2) June 2022, Pg. 75- 85
- Ahmed, R. M., Riaz, H. A. & Muhammad, U. Y. (2015). Does the loan loss provision affect the banking profitability in the case of Pakistan? *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 2(7):772-783.
- Ahmed, Z., Shakoor, Z., Khan, M. A. & Ullah, W. (2021). The role of financial risk management in predicting financial performance: A case study of commercial banks in Pakistan. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(5): 0639–0648
- Allen, F., & Carletti, E. (2016). *Systemic risk and macro-prudential regulation, Chapter 13 in the global macro economy and finance*. In F. Allen, M. Aoki, J. Fitoussi, R. Gordon, N. Kiyotaki, & J. Stiglitz (Eds.), IEA Conference (Volume 150-III). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Al-Qudah, A. M & Jaradat, M. A (2013). The Impact of Macroeconomic Variables and Banks Characteristics on Jordanian Islamic Banks Profitability: Empirical Evidence. *International Business Research*, 6(10).
- Atoi, N. V. (2018). Non-performing Loan and its Effects on Banking Stability: Evidence from National and International Licensed Banks in Nigeria. *CBN Journal of Applied Statistics*, 9(2) (December 2018), 43-74
- Bhattarai, B.P (2018). Determinants of loan loss provisions of commercial banks in Nepal. *European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research*, 6(9), 23–37.

- Bhattacharai, Y. R. (2017). Effect of non-performing loan on the profitability of commercial banks in Nepal. *Prestige International Journal of Management and Research*, 10(2), 1-9.
- Chuke, N. E., & Chinedu, O. (2018). Impact of credit risk management on the performance of selected Nigerian banks. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 8(2): 287-297.
- Corporate Finance Institute (2022). *Non-Performing Loan (NPL)*.
- Danisman, G. O., Demir, E., & Ozili, P. (2020). Loan loss provisioning of US banks: Economic policy uncertainty and discretionary behaviour. *International Review of Economics & Finance*. doi:10.1016/j.iref.2020.10.016.
- Drehmann, M., Sorensen, S., & Stringa, M. (2018). The integrated impact of credit and interest rate risk on banks: An economic value and capital adequacy perspective. *Bank of England, Working Paper no. 339*.
- Emeakponuzo, D.E. (2021). Non-financial Performance Measures and Firm Value in Nigeria: What is the Link? *British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade*, 4(6), 947-966.
<https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/commercial-lending/non-performing-loan-npl/>
- IFRI – CRO Forum, (2007). *Survey on Economic Capital Practice and Applications*. The Institute of the Chief Risk Officers (CRO) and International Financial Risk Institute
- Industry: An Analytical Study. *International Journal of Research in Engineering, IT and Social Sciences*, 8(12), December 2018, Pages 60-66
- Integrated Entropy–DEMATEL–TOPSIS Model. *Entropy (Basel)*, 24(8): 1056. doi: 10.3390/e24081056
- Jolevski, L. (2017). Non-performing loans and profitability indicators: The case of the Republic of Macedonia. *Journal of Contemporary Economics and Business*, 4(2), pp. 5-20.
- Kah, F. L., Weng, S. L., & Weng, H. L. (2022). Financial Network Analysis on the Performance of Companies Using
- Kajola, S. O., Adedeji, S. B., Olabisi, J. & Babatolu, A. T. (2018). Effect of Credit Risk Management on Financial

- Kolapo, T. F., Ayeni, R. K., & Oke, M. O. (2012). Credit risk management and commercial banks' performance in Nigeria: A panel model approach. *Australian Journal of Business and Management Research*, 2(2), 31–38.
- Makokha, A. N., Namusonge, G. S. & Sakwa, M. (2016). Effect of Risk Management Practices on Financial Performance in Kenya Commercial Banks. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 7(16), 2016
- Moti, H.O, Masinde, J.S, & Mugenda, N.G, (2012). Effectiveness of Credit Management Systems on loans performance: Empirical evidence from microfinance Sector in Kenya. *International Journal of Business, Humanities and Technology*, 2(16), 99-108.
- Muhammad, A. K., Asima, S., & Zahid, S. (2020). Determinants of non-performing loans in the banking sector in developing states. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 5(1).
- Ng, J., Saffar, W. & Zhang, J. (2020). Policy uncertainty and loan loss provisions in the banking industry. *Review of Accounting Studies*. 25. 10.1007/s11142-019-09530-y.
- Ng, J., Saffar, W., & Zhang, J. J. (2020). Policy uncertainty and loan loss provisions in the banking industry. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 1–52.
- Nguyen, D.T.T. (2022). Factors Affecting Loan Loss Provisions during the Covid-19 Pandemic – The Case of Commercial Banks in Vietnam. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, 7(3). DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2022.7.3.1421>
- Nwosu, C. P., Okedigba, D. O. & Anih, D. O. (2020). Non-Performing Loans and Profitability of the Nigerian Commercial Banks. *Central Bank of Nigeria Economic and Financial Review September 2020*
- Ogunlade, O. & Oseni, M. (2018) Credit management practices and bank performance: Evidence from First Bank. *South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics*, 1(1): 1-10.
- Performance of Nigerian Listed Deposit Money Banks. *Scholedge International Journal of Business Policy & Governance*, 5(6), Pp. 53-62. DOI: 10.19085/journal.sijbpg050601
- Shuibin, G., Ofori, C., Takyi, K. N., Eric, D. & Weveh-Wilson, B. (2020). Non-performing loans, capital adequacy, loan loss provision, and bank profitability: A case of licensed

- Ghanaian banks. *EPRA International Journal of Economic and Business Review*, 8(10).
<https://doi.org/10.36713/epra5421>
- Srivastava, S. K., Venkatraman, B. & Jaiswal, B. (2018). Performance of Innovative Products of Indian Banking
- Taiwo, J. N., Ucheaga, E. G., Achugamonu, B. U., Adetiloye, K., Okoye, L., & Agwu, M. E. (2017) Credit risk management: Implications on bank performance and lending growth. *Saudi Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 2(5), 584-590.
- Tijani, J. O. & Abdullahi, M. S. (2021). Banks and credit risk management in Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, 9(12), Dec 2021
- Umar, G., Tijjani, M. S. & Salisu, A. (2022). Effect of Credit Risk on Financial Performance of Listed Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria. *Gusau International Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 5(1), April 2022
- Viswanadham, N. (2015). Determinants of Non-Performing Loans in Commercial Banks: A Study of NBC Bank Dodoma Tanzania. *International Journal of Finance & Banking Studies(IJFBS)*, 4(1), 2015

APPENDIX

Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
ROE	15.2	2.5	12.3	18.7
Non-Performing Loans	5.8%	1.2%	4.2%	7.6%
Loan Loss Provision	2.3%	0.8%	1.5%	3.6%
Liquidity Ratio	20.5%	3.0%	17.2%	24.1%
Risk Asset Ratio	15.8%	2.2%	12.5%	18.4%

Source: Authors compilation 2023

Unit Root Test Results:

Variable	ADF Statistic	P-Value	Critical Value at 5%	Stationarity
ROE (Return on Equity)	-2.1	0.034	-2.9	Stationary
Non-Performing Loans	-1.5	0.152	-2.9	Non-Stationary
Loan Loss Provision	-3.2	0.008	-2.9	Stationary
Liquidity Ratio	-2.8	0.021	-2.9	Stationary

Risk Asset Ratio	-1.9	0.074	-2.9	Non-Stationary
-------------------------	------	-------	------	----------------

Source: Authors compilation 2023

Unit Root Test Results after First Difference

Variable	ADF Statistic	P-Value	Critical Value at 5%	Stationarity
ROE (Return on Equity)	-4.5	0.001	-2.9	Stationary
Non-Performing Loans	-3.6	0.012	-2.9	Stationary
Loan Loss Provision	-4.2	0.003	-2.9	Stationary
Liquidity Ratio	-3.8	0.008	-2.9	Stationary
Risk Asset Ratio	-3.9	0.006	-2.9	Stationary

Cointegration Test Results:

Cointegration Test	Test Statistic	Critical Value (5%)	P-Value	Conclusion
Engle-Granger	-3.2	-2.9	0.015	Reject Null Hypothesis
Johansen Trace Statistic	50.1	42.9 (2 Critical)	<0.001	Reject Null Hypothesis
Johansen Max Eigenvalue	34.8	33.9 (2 Critical)	0.002	Reject Null Hypothesis

ECM Results

Variable	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-Statistic	P-Value	Lag Order
ROE (Lagged First Diff)	0.12	0.04	3.0	0.003	1
Non-Performing Loans (Lagged First Diff)	-0.08	0.02	-4.2	<0.001	1
Loan Loss Provision (Lagged First Diff)	0.05	0.01	5.0	<0.001	1
Liquidity Ratio (Lagged First Diff)	-0.03	0.01	-2.5	0.012	1
Risk Asset Ratio (Lagged First Diff)	0.02	0.008	2.6	0.009	1
Constant	0.08	0.03	2.7	0.008	-